	Page	1
BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUT	ION CONTROL BOARD	
ILLICO INDEPENDENT OIL CO.,)	
Petitioner,)))	
vs.) PCB 17-84) (UST Appeal)	
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)))	
Respondent.)	

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS taken before HEARING OFFICER CAROL WEBB, by LISA HAHN PETERMAN, CSR, RMR, a notary public within and for the County of Macon and State of Illinois, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 1021 North Grand Avenue East, Springfield, Illinois, on the 19th day of September, 2018, at 10:00 a.m.

		Page 2)
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD.		١
3			١
4			1
5			١
6			١
7			١
8			1
9			١
10			١
11			1
12			1
13			1
14			1
15			1
16			1
17			1
18			1
19			1
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
			╝

					Page 3
1		I N	DEX		
2				P.	AGES
3	Opening Remarks by	the Hea	ring Of	ficer	4
4	Closing Remarks by	the Hea	ring Of	ficer	73
5					
6					
7					
8	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
9	JEFF WIENHOFF	6	20	29/31	30/31
10	TRENT BENANTI	36	53	59	63
11	JEFF WIENHOFF	67	68	70/71	71/72
12					
13					
14					
15		E X H I	вІТ	S	
16	NUMBER		IDENT	'IFIED A	DMITTED
17	Petitioner's 1			13	35
18	IEPA Exhibit 1			36	66
19	IEPA Exhibit 2			49	66
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

Page 4 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Good morning. 2 My name is Carol Webb, and this is a hearing for PCB 17-84, Illico Independent Oil Company vs IEPA. 3 4 It is September 19th, and we are beginning at 10:00 5 a.m. For the record, although this facility 6 7 is located in Peoria County, there was no known 8 public interest in this case, so I granted the 9 parties' request to hold the hearing in Springfield. 10 11 There are no members of the public 12 present to comment, but written public comment may 13 be filed with the Clerk by October 3rd. In this case, the Agency modified the 14 15 Corrective Action Plan and budget for Petitioner's 16 site, located at 3712 North University Street in 17 Peoria. The Pollution Control Board members 18 will make the final decision in this case. 19 20 My purpose is to conduct the hearing in 2.1 a neutral and orderly manner so that we have a 22 clear record of the proceedings. The decision 23 deadline is December 20th. 24 This hearing was noticed pursuant to

	Page 5
1	the Act and the Board's rules and will be conducted
2	pursuant to Sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the
3	Board's Procedural Rules.
4	At this time I will ask the parties to
5	please make their appearances on the record.
6	MR. SHAW: Patrick Shaw on behalf of
7	Illico Independent Oil Company.
8	MS. JARVIS: Melanie Jarvis, Special
9	Assistant Attorney General, on behalf of the
10	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
11	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
12	Are there any preliminary matters you
13	would like to discuss on the record?
14	MR. SHAW: Not me.
15	MS. JARVIS: No.
16	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would the
17	Petitioner like to give an opening state?
18	MR. SHAW: We'll waive opening
19	statement.
20	MS. JARVIS: We will also waive opening
21	statement.
22	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
23	Mr. Shaw, you may call your first
24	witness.

	Page 6
1	And would the court reporter please
2	swear in the witness?
3	(Witness sworn.)
4	
5	JEFF WIENHOFF
6	called as a witness on behalf of the Petitioner,
7	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. SHAW:
11	Q. Will the witness please state his name?
12	A. Jeff Wienhoff.
13	Q. Good morning, Mr. Wienhoff. Could you
14	state your highest attained educational background?
15	A. I have a Bachelor's degree from Tulane
16	University in Chemical Engineering with
17	departmental honors.
18	Q. And what year did you receive that?
19	A. 1999.
20	Q. After graduating, did you work?
21	A. Yeah, I did. I went to grad school for
22	a year and then I started employment in 2000.
23	Q. Okay. And what was your occupation and
24	background?

Page 7 1 I was employed in environmental engineering for CW3M Company. 2 3 Ο. Do you have any license relating to 4 your occupation? I am an Illinois Licensed Professional 5 6 Engineer since 2005. 7 And what was the nature of the work 8 that you did for CW3M? 9 I was primarily working on underground storage tank sites dealing with environmental 10 issues. 11 12 And I assume that you've left CW3M at Q. 13 some point in time. Where did you go to work from 14 there? 15 I was there almost ten years, and then 16 I went from there to Marlin Environmental, doing 17 the same kind of work, for close to six years, and 18 then for the last two and a half years, I've worked for Green Wave Consulting, continuing to primarily 19 2.0 focus on environmental issues at underground 2.1 storage tank sites. 22 So since you started working after Q. 23 school, about what percentage of your work is

involved in underground storage tanks?

	Page 8
1	A. Probably 95 percent.
2	Q. Are you familiar with Illico
3	Independent Oil?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. When did you first become familiar with
6	them?
7	A. The company?
8	Q. Yeah.
9	A. Probably about 2013, I believe, we
10	started with Marlin. They signed a contract with
11	Marlin to work on their open LUST incidents.
12	Q. So you worked with them on several
13	sites?
14	A. Yeah, about 10 to 12.
15	Q. When did you first become familiar with
16	the I guess it's called the maybe the
17	University service station in Peoria?
18	A. Yeah. We were brought in sometime in
19	the summer of 2015, as they were undergoing a
20	lawsuit with their tenant at the time, to review
21	the site and give our opinions with regards to its
22	status and where it stood.
23	Q. Do you recall what the status of the
24	site in terms of the LUST program was?

Page 9

A. It had been through a number of different, kind of, consultants and things throughout the years and stages of investigation.

At the time, the current consultant that was dealing with it had just -- had completed a Stage II site investigation but had not yet submitted the results.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. The submittals are in the record, so I don't want to spend too much time on them, but, essentially, what is the purpose of a Stage II site investigation?
- A. To complete the delineation of the contamination on the gas station property itself.
- Q. Okay. Did Marlin do that work or was that done --
 - A. That was done by a prior company.
- Q. Okay. What was the first work Marlin did on the site?
- A. Marlin submitted a Stage III Site Investigation Plan.
- Q. Okay. Now, what is the purpose of a Stage III site investigation?
- A. To finish the delineation of the contamination on to neighboring properties.

Page 10 1 So the point Marlin or you entered into 2 working on the project, I take it, that the extent 3 of contamination onsite had been delineated? 4 Α. Yes. 5 What did the results of the Stage III 0. 6 site investigation show? 7 Α. Some of it was denied for access at the 8 time, and others of it we had to clean borings, I 9 believe, offsite in another direction to finish the 10 site investigation. 11 Okay. And which direction was the 12 contamination flowing on the site? 13 North by northwest primarily. To the 14 west, yeah. 15 After the Stage III site investigation 16 work -- well, let me back up. 17 Was the Stage III investigation site 18 work ever approved by the Agency? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Following the Stage III Site 2.1 Investigation Plan, what is usually the next step 22 in a LUST -- for LUST cleanups? 23 A Site Investigation Completion Report,

which documents the Stage III work done and

	Page 11
1	demonstrates the extent of contamination that have
2	been defined.
3	Q. Was that performed?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Are there situations where Stage III
6	site well, let me back up. Strike that.
7	And was that work was that work
8	approved, the report?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Did the Site Investigation Report
11	conclude that additional corrective action was
12	necessary?
13	A. I mean, yeah, it just I mean,
14	there's always corrective action necessary. It's
15	just a matter of what it is. They concluded all
16	that concludes is where the contamination is and
17	how bad it is.
18	Q. What was the source of contamination at
19	the site?
20	A. Well, the original release was reported
21	based on overfills of the USTs.
22	Q. And where would the overfills occur at?
23	A. At the fill ports on top of the USTs.
24	Q. Okay. How many USTs were there at the

Page 12 1 site? 2 Five. Α. 3 0. So each of these would have -- each of 4 these fill ports would have -- each of these tanks 5 would have its own fill port? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Are you aware of any other sources of 8 petroleum contamination that were evidenced or 9 indicated in the record? 10 That was the only reported release A. No. at the site. 11 12 In terms of corrective action, I Q. 13 believe you indicated that it depends on what type 14 of corrective action is called for by the site 15 investigation. What type of corrective action was 16 proposed here following completion of the site 17 investigation? 18 Removal of the source material above 19 Tier 2 objectives, taking into account the 20 groundwater use restriction at the site and 2.1 industrial or commercial objectives or industrial 22 or commercial use of the property, and then highway 23 authority agreements and restrictions following 24 that removal.

Page 13 1 Okay. And in terms of highway 2 authority agreements, when are those necessary? When contamination has migrated beneath 3 4 the right-of-ways adjacent to the property. 5 Q. Okay. I'm going to approach the 6 witness and give him what has been marked as 7 Petitioner's Exhibit 1. It is printed from the 8 record on file with the Board, page 598. 9 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 1 was 10 marked for identification.) 11 MS. JARVIS: This is Petitioner's 12 Exhibit 1? 13 MR. SHAW: Petitioner's Exhibit 1. 14 Sorry. I didn't mark all of them. 15 MS. JARVIS: Okay. Thank you. BY MR. SHAW: 16 17 Do you recognize Petitioner's 18 Exhibit 1? 19 Α. I do. 20 Can you describe it for me? It was the Proposed Excavation Area Map 2.1 Α. 22 from the submitted Corrective Action Plan. 23 Okay. I can tell from the key that

I would like --

there are some different colors.

Page 14 1 I'm going to go through these one by one to allow 2 you to describe them. Where are the underground 3 storage tanks located? What color? 4 In the orange section of the map. Α. 5 Okay. And as far as the excavation 0. plan, what did the excavation plan call to do in 6 7 the orange area? 8 Α. It called to remove the underground 9 storage tanks and remove soil to the landfill from 10 the surface to 13 feet below grade. 11 Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of 12 doing that? 13 To remove the worst soils at the source Α. 14 of the contamination of the release. 15 Was it necessary to remove the tanks to 16 remove the worst soils? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And why is that? 19 I mean, you can't physically get to 20 those soils with the tanks physically remaining in 2.1 that space. 22 And did you say you were going down 23 13 feet? 24 Α. Yes, in that area.

	Page 15
1	Q. What was the significance of 13 feet?
2	A. It's the it was the
3	estimated backfill area of materials around the
4	tanks, based on the tanks going to 12 foot in depth
5	and a foot of fill material beneath them.
6	Q. What was the nature of the backfill
7	around the tanks?
8	A. I don't remember. It was sandy soils
9	typically.
10	Q. I'd like to turn your attention to the
11	area that's striped blue.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you see that?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. What was the nature of the work to be
16	done on I'm going to call it the blue area.
17	A. It was to remove overburden from zero
18	to four feet of material that was below Tier 2
19	objectives and set it aside and then remove the
20	material from four to eight feet in depth, which
21	was above Tier 2 objectives, to haul that to the
22	landfill.
23	O Okay And what was the significance of

the four to eight feet versus --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Page 16 It's the depth where the contamination above Tier 2 objectives was found. 0. And where did that contamination originate from? From the overfills of the USTs. In order to remove contaminated soils in section blue, in the blue section, did portions of underground storage tank system need to be removed? Portions of the piping needed to be Α. removed. And where was that piping going from? Q. Α. From the underground storage tanks to the dispensers. I'd like to turn your attention to the green section. Can you describe what work was to be done in the green section?

A. Similar to the blue, except the overburden was determined to be five foot in thickness in that site, so five foot was set aside to be returned to the excavation, and then the soil from five to eight foot in depth was to be held to the landfill.

Q. So it was -- there was -- so is my

Page 17

understanding	cor	rect	that	there	was	less	depth	of
impacted soil	in	the	green	section	on?			

A. Less thickness.

2.1

- Q. Less thickness? In order to remove contaminated soils in the green section were any portions of underground storage tank needed to be removed?
- A. Portions of the piping were needed to be removed in order to do that, yes.
- Q. Is there any significance to the presence of the piping run going between these areas in terms of contamination migration?
- A. Sometimes it can act as a migration pathway because the soils aren't as tight in the pathway. Sometimes it connects as a migration pathway to move the contaminants.
- Q. As I think I asked before, where was the source of the contamination for the green section of this map?
- A. It would have been the overfills of the tanks in the orange section.
- Q. In terms of soil sampling, what type of soil sampling was done in the region that was excavated?

	Page 18
1	A. During the work or prior to?
2	Q. Prior to the work.
3	A. I mean, soil borings were performed as
4	part of the Stage II investigation by the previous
5	consultant.
6	Q. And where were the locations chosen for
7	the soil borings?
8	A. You know, I wasn't involved in that
9	process, but, typically, you do it as close as
10	practical to the UST pit while maintaining the
11	safety of that area.
12	Q. Does that mean you don't dig right on
13	top of the tanks? Is that what you're saying?
14	A. Right. Correct.
15	Q. What is the typical distance away from
16	the tank pit that is excavated?
17	A. For borings?
18	Q. Yeah. That's what I mean, borings.
19	A. It's typically five to ten feet, but it
20	depends on each site in the site specific
21	conditions.
22	Q. Where were the closest samples taken to
23	to tanks that exceeded the applicable site
24	remediation objectives?

Page 19 1 SB-17, I believe, is the closest that exceeded the Tier 2 objectives. 2 3 0. And about how far is that from the 4 excavation tank pit? 5 Α. It's about 15 feet. 6 And is that consistent with what you 7 would know about the migration of groundwater in 8 the area? Correct. It would be downgradient from 9 Α. the UST tank. 10 11 Was the Corrective Action Plan as initially submitted approved? 12 13 Α. No. 14 Do you recall the objections? 0. 15 Not completely off the top of my head. 16 The first Corrective Action Plan was approved for 17 some technical reasons to do with Tier 2 18 calculations, and then the second Corrective Action 19 Plan, that was under appeal, was modified stating that -- for a lot of reasons, but, typically, that 20 the material in the orange section was not proven 2.1

Action Plan, do you recall any objections specific

to be above Tier 2 objectives.

22

23

24

With respect to the first Corrective

	Page 20
1	to the UST system?
2	A. I do not.
3	Q. Prior to removing the UST system, do
4	you recall any specific objections to doing so?
5	A. No.
6	Q. In your professional opinion, was
7	removing underground storage tanks necessary to
8	perform the Corrective Action Plan?
9	A. It was.
10	Q. I probably should have said that
11	differently. Let me ask it this way.
12	In your professional opinion, was
13	removing the underground storage tanks necessary to
14	remove soil that exceeded the remediation
15	objectives?
16	A. Yes, it was.
17	MR. SHAW: I have no further questions.
18	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.
19	Ms. Jarvis?
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. JARVIS:
22	Q. Okay. We're going to go kind of back
23	to the beginning
24	A. Okay. Sure.

Page 21 1 -- and then work forward, because 2 that's the best way that I do things. 3 You've reviewed the entire file --4 Α. Yes. 5 -- that has been filed with the Agency 0. 6 prior, the IEMA report? 7 I reviewed everything that's in the 8 record. There may have been some stuff in the 9 early '90s or mid '90s that I don't have access to, because I think some of it was on the microfiche or 10 something. 11 12 Okay. That was in the record. Q. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. Because we're not going to be 15 going off the record. 16 Α. Okay. 17 In the original IEMA report, which I Q. 18 can show you if you don't remember --19 Α. Okay. 20 -- this is on page 3 of the record, 2.1 what was the cause of the release? 22 Could I see it? Α. 23 You betcha. I actually have it 24 highlighted to make it all that much more easier.

	Page 22
1	A. Sure. It says, Spills and Overfills,
2	tanks and piping have tested tight.
3	Q. So the tanks and the piping at that
4	point had tested tight.
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And that was in 19
7	A. '92.
8	Q '92. After that, did they keep
9	those tanks in service?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So, for about 23 years, they kept those
12	tanks in service.
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Was there any indication that those
15	tanks were leaking?
16	A. Not that I'm aware of.
17	Q. Okay. Because if they were leaking, it
18	would have been kind of silly to leave them in
19	service for 23 years.
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. I'm going to go back to the map
22	A. Sure.
23	Q which you have a copy of, so I don't
24	need to show you this one.

Page 23

1	What soil borings indicate that the
2	orange section was hot?
3	A. Well, there are no soil borings in the
4	orange section, but, in my opinion, the fact that
5	SB-17 and SB-15, which the contamination came from
6	the orange section being hot, indicated that the
7	orange section would also be hot.
8	Q. Okay. So we have sent we have soil
9	boring 23 and soil boring 22
10	A. Correct.
11	Q and 24.
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And those were all below Tier 1.
14	A. Yes.
15	
	Q. Okay. And then, I take it, 25 and 19
16	were below Tier 1.
17	A. No. Those are Tier 1 but below Tier 2.
18	Q. Okay. And then we have 31
19	A. Yes.
20	Q which I believe was the hottest.
21	A. Yeah. I don't know the difference
22	relative to 31 and 17. I don't know off the top of
23	my head.
24	Q. Okay. Well, let me try to find that in

Page 24 1 the record. I'll get back to it because I'm going 2 to have to go through everything. So do you know 3 where that is in the record? 4 MR. BENANTI: Yeah. It should be in 5 the plan submitted. 6 MS. JARVIS: Okay. So we'll go on 7 while he's finding that. 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MS. JARVIS: 9 10 So we can show you the tables. Ο. 11 Α. Sure. 12 So, looking at the table, which is on Q. 13 page 600 of the record, which was hotter, 31 or 17? 14 Well, for some contaminants, 31 was 15 more elevated, and for some contaminants, 17 was 16 more elevated. 17 Okay. So for which contaminant, which 18 was 31, more elevated for? 19 It had higher levels of the BTEX 20 components. 2.1 Q. Okay. 22 And 17 had higher levels of the 23 naphthalene. 24 Q. Okay. And why was -- the difference

	Page 25
1	between BTEX and naphthalene, why did we have to
2	look at those separately?
3	A. Well, there is BTEX is an indicator
4	to look for gasoline, both BTEX and naphthalene,
5	and the PNAs and naphthalene and are contaminants
6	for diesel.
7	Q. Okay. So there was a diesel tank
8	there, too, in addition to the gasoline tanks?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And which tank was that? We have one,
11	two, three was out of service; four and five was
12	the small one.
13	A. Yes. Four is the it was the diesel
14	fuel and six was that or number five was the
15	kerosene, which also had PNAs as an indicated
16	contaminant.
17	Q. Okay. Now, when you submitted the
18	first Corrective Action Plan
19	A. Yes.
20	Q you have a construction worker
21	notification.
22	A. In one part of the plan, yes; it was
23	left in by Air.
24	Q. It was left in by Air.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

Page 26

1 Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- And then you removed the second plan? 0.
- It was removed during the review of the Α. first plan in an e-mail with the project manager.
 - Okay. And then it was --0.
- It wasn't removed; it was clarified Α. that it was a --
 - Q. An air.
 - Α. Yes, ma'am.
- Okay. When the initial plan was inhouse, before it was approved or denied --
 - Α. Yes.
 - Ο. -- were the tanks removed?
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - Why were the tanks removed? 0.
 - For a myriad of reasons, but it had to Α. do with the owner. I guess, Illico ended up back with the property and they were working on selling the property, and the tanks were also coming to the end of their useful life and so they wanted to get that -- they needed to get that work done prior to completing the sale.
 - So they were moved because the owner wanted them removed prior to any approval for the

Page 27

_	
removal	
Temovar	•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. The owner chose not to wait for the approval because of the timeline he had.
- Q. And you're aware that, then, underneath the regulations, he took the risk that it might not be reimbursed?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. When the tanks were removed, an additional release was called in?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And under that release there have been no reports filed; perhaps a 20-day but no 45-day.
- A. That's correct, I believe, yeah. We did not do a 45-day report. I believe we submitted a letter indicating that it was our belief that it was a re-reporting of the original release.
- Q. Okay. And one of the reasons for that is because under the Act, if you remove the tanks and then call in a release, that tank pull is not reimbursed if you do not contact EMA prior to the tank removal.
- A. That's not one of the reasons but,
 yeah, that's correct. That cannot be permitted
 prior to notification.

Page 28

	9
1	Q. Let's go back to the first Corrective
2	Action Plan, which you said was denied because
3	there were some questions about Tier 2.
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Now, with relationship to the removal
6	of the tanks and that not being specifically in the
7	denial, that was because we have to establish where
8	exceedances of Tier 2 are prior to going to the
9	rest of whether or not a tank will be removed.
10	A. Sure. I mean, you have to establish
11	the objectives prior to the plan.
12	Q. All right. Prior to the plan. And
13	then you came back and capped the second Correction
14	Action Plan, and on that one, Tier 2 was better
15	defined where there were exceedances, where there
16	weren't exceedances, and that's then when the
17	Agency said you couldn't pull the tanks, which had
18	already been pulled.
19	A. I guess I didn't say we couldn't, I
20	said it wasn't reimbursable.
21	Q. It wasn't reimbursable. We're going to
22	go back to the beginning.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Okay? Because one of the times when a

Page 29

tank pull is reimbursable, no matter what, is early action, and in this case, we went through the fact that the early action for this site in '92, the tanks were not removed because they were tested tight, so we do not have those circumstances here where the tanks would be automatically covered because of early action.

A. Correct. This was not -- this one was not done because of early action.

MS. JARVIS: Okay. No further questions.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Shaw?

MR. SHAW: I have just a few.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SHAW:

2.1

- Q. With respect to this second incident number, when did that incident -- when was that incident reported?
- A. I don't have a firm date in front of me, but based on seeing contamination around the tanks, the State Fire Marshal inspector required us to report it on the day the tanks were removed.
- Q. Is there anything about the Corrective
 Action Plan at issue here that would be surprising

	Page 30
1	or shocking that there would be contamination
2	around the tanks?
3	A. No.
4	Q. It's what you would have expected,
5	isn't that correct?
6	A. That's correct.
7	MR. SHAW: No further questions.
8	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Jarvis, any
9	follow-up for you?
10	MS. JARVIS: Yes.
11	RECROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY MS. JARVIS:
13	Q. The contamination around the tanks was
14	not over Tier 2, correct?
15	A. That's not correct. We did not
16	that's not correct. I don't know that.
17	Q. When you pulled the tanks, you didn't
18	test the side walls, the inventory?
19	A. We tested the side walls, which were
20	extent of this excavation which we thought were
21	below Tier 1, but we didn't test the material that
22	was hauled to the landfill.
23	Q. So we do not have any evidence that it
24	was above Tier 2.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

Page 31 1 Correct. We didn't collect any samples 2 of that material. 3 MS. JARVIS: No further questions. 4 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. SHAW: 6 In your professional opinion, based 7 upon the information available, do you believe it 8 is more likely than not that contamination around 9 the tanks exceeded Stage II site investigation or 10 applicable site remediation objectives? Yes, I do believe it is, based on the 11 fact that the material at the source is generally 12 13 the worst, and as you get further away, it gets 14 better. So, by inference, that the nearest borings in the downgradient direction were above Tier 2, I 15 16 believe that the material where the petroleum first 17 was released would also be above Tier 2. 18 MR. SHAW: That's all my questions. 19 MS. JARVIS: Okay. 20 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION 2.1 BY MS. JARVIS: 22 Q. We're going to go back to the map. 23 Α. Okay. 24 When this was originally reported, it Q.

Page 32 1 was due to IDOT construction on the roadway around 2 this gas station. 3 Α. Okay. 4 Where -- do you know where that 5 construction was? 6 Α. I do not. 7 Okay. The hottest borings were far 8 away from the tanks. They were 31, 15, and 17, 9 which is, I believe, seven feet away, and they 10 were -- or 17 feet away. They were not near the 11 tanks. 12 Okay. Yeah, I mean, we went through 31 and 17. 13 14 31 and 17. And 15 is all the way up by 0. 15 the pumps. 16 Sure. So it has a preferential Α. 17 migration pathway that led to it. 18 So where the source is, it would seem 19 to me that if the source is the hottest, we have a 20 source that is away from the tanks, being 31 or 15. 2.1 I do not believe those were the source. Α. 22 I don't believe that's where -- where petroleum was

Q. But it's right by the tanks or right by

23

24

spilled into the ground.

Page 33 1 I'm taking it that these oval-shaped the pumps. 2 things are the pumps. 3 Α. They are, but... 4 And that's right in the middle of the 0. 5 pumps. 6 Well, I mean, the record of the 7 reporting is that it was overfills of the USTs. Ιt 8 wasn't overfill from the pumps to the cars, it was overfills of the USTs. That's what makes it 9 10 eligible for a release. So I don't have any evidence that that tells me that those pumps were 11 12 the source of the release. 13 However, the report is, as you read 14 into the record, says spills and overfills. 15 Α. Correct. 16 It doesn't say from the tanks, and it Ο. 17 says tanks and piping have tested tight. 18 Α. Correct. 19 So if 15 is hot and it's all the way up 20 there and the piping has tested tight, then the 2.1 release, obviously, wasn't from the piping or the 22 system, it was from overfills, and that is all the

I do not believe that to be

23

24

way by the pumps.

Α.

No.

Page 34 1 If it's an overfill -- if the kind of 2 overfill they were talking about was from the 3 dispenser into a car, that's not eligible as an 4 underground storage tank release. 5 Q. And I understand that, but there's no 6 evidence that it was an overfill from the USTs. Sure. It could have -- overfill at 7 Α. 8 the UST, it can follow that piping trench and the 9 product can run through that piping trench down to that location, and that's why it's, you know, in 10 that direction right beneath the piping, as close 11 12 to the piping as can be sampled. 13 Okay. And then, I quess, the question 14 is doesn't piping slope back to the tank? 15 It is supposed to, yes, but, I mean, 16 it's 1/8th inch for however many feet. The piping 17 does; the trench doesn't necessarily. 18 MS. JARVIS: I don't have any further 19 questions. 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Shaw, any 2.1 follow-up? 22 MR. SHAW: No. 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. You 24 may step down.

	Page 35
1	(Witness excused.)
2	MS. JARVIS: And then if we could just
3	have a short recess so I can confer.
4	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Shaw, do you
5	have anything further you'd like to present?
6	MR. SHAW: We rest our side.
7	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Are you offering
8	this exhibit into evidence? It's part of the
9	record, I guess.
10	MR. SHAW: It's part of the record.
11	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Petitioner's
12	Exhibit 1 is admitted. It's already part of the
13	record, but for convenience of the Board, it's
14	admitted at this hearing.
15	Okay. We will take a short recess.
16	MS. JARVIS: Yes.
17	(A brief recess was taken.)
18	We will go back on the record and the
19	Agency may call its first witness.
20	MS. JARVIS: And I'm going to call
21	Trent Benanti.
22	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Will the court
23	reporter please swear in the witness?
24	(Witness sworn.)

	Page 36
1	MS. JARVIS: See, this is how you give
2	the Board something to look at.
3	
4	TRENT BENANTI
5	called as a witness on behalf of the Respondent,
6	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified
7	as follows:
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. JARVIS:
10	Q. Okay. I have what I have marked as
11	IEPA Exhibit 1. It is a blown-up copy of the map
12	that is found at the record at 590 I need new
13	glasses 598. I'm going to use it as an exhibit
14	to help in the testimony.
15	(IEPA Exhibit Number 1 was marked for
16	identification.)
17	Okay. Please state your name.
18	A. Trent Benanti.
19	Q. And where are you employed?
20	A. Illinois EPA.
21	Q. And how long have you been so employed?
22	A. Since May 1st of '98.
23	Q. And what position do you hold at the
24	Agency?

		Page 37
1	Α.	Environmental Protection Engineer.
2	Q.	And how long have you had that
3	position?	
4	Α.	My entire tenure at the EPA.
5	Q.	And where did you go to school?
6	Α.	Purdue University.
7	Q.	When did you graduate?
8	Α.	1997.
9	Q.	And what was your degree in?
10	Α.	Bachelor of Science, Chemical
11	Engineerin	g.
12	Q.	And are you a do you have any
13	certificat	ions?
14	Α.	Licensed Professional Engineer.
15	Q.	How are you connected to the Illico
16	site?	
17	Α.	I have been a project manager since the
18	plan was s	ubmitted by the previous owner, which
19	would be a	round September 2011.
20	Q.	How was this original release in '92
21	reported?	
22	Α.	The release was reported after IDOT did
23	some testi	ng at the intersection of University and
24	War Memori	al Drive.

Page 38 1 Okay. So this was a construction 2 project? 3 Yeah, a construction project at the intersection. 4 5 Q. Okay. So I just want to -- why don't 6 you hold the map up and just kind of point where on 7 the map the IDOT work would have taken place. 8 Α. It would have taken place somewhere 9 over here at the intersection of War Memorial and North University southwest of the site. 10 11 So southwest of the site. Q. 12 Α. Correct. 13 Which way, do you know, does the 14 groundwater flow? 15 I'm not certain without seeing a flow Α. 16 map. 17 Okay. Is there a flow map in the Q. 18 record? 19 There should be. Α. 20 MR. WIENHOFF: It should be in the Site 2.1 Investigation Report. 22 BY MS. JARVIS: 23 Q. So that would be after the Stage III, 24 right?

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

```
Page 39
 1
            Α.
                Correct.
 2
                MR. WIENHOFF: It's page 254, I
 3
     believe.
 4
                MS. JARVIS:
                             Thank you very much.
 5
     Because the first half --
                MR. WIENHOFF: It's 253.
 6
 7
                MS. JARVIS: I have it at 238. Is that
     the sticker?
 8
 9
                MR. WIENHOFF: Yeah, that's the
10
     sticker.
11
                MS. JARVIS: And that's what we're
12
     talking about, right?
13
                MR. WIENHOFF: Yeah.
14
                MS. JARVIS: And 258 is the flow map.
15
                MR. WIENHOFF: 253.
16
                MS. JARVIS: There we go. Okay.
17
     BY MS. JARVIS:
18
                So I direct you to the section page 253
19
     of the record. Which way does the groundwater
20
     flow?
2.1
            Α.
                It looks to be, based on the arrow, to
22
     the west/northwest.
23
                And that is towards or away from the
24
     intersection of War Memorial and University?
```

Page 40 1 Away from. Α. 2 So when the first release came in in 0. 3 '92, obviously, you weren't at the Agency yet, but 4 you've reviewed the entire record. 5 Α. Correct. 6 Were the 20-day and 45-day reports 7 submitted? 8 For the '92 incident, yes. Α. 9 Okay. And did this -- did this case or Q. 10 did the site proceed normally within normal timeframes, or after the 45-day report, did it 11 12 stall or did it continue on? 13 It continued. Α. 14 0. It continued. When the Stage I was 15 filed, was that approved? 16 Α. I believe so. 17 Okay. And as we go on with the Q. 18 process, how was the process of the site? Was it 19 all being approved? Was it being denied? 20 there problems? 2.1 We did decide at one point to Α. 22 reinvestigate certain portions of most of the site, 23 based on the age of the previous analytical results, and that's how we got to the borings that 24

	Page 41
1	were listed on the exhibit.
2	Q. Okay. So let's go to the map. As
3	previously testified by Mr. Wienhoff, the orange
4	section is the tank field?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And the blue section is where we have
7	the hottest borings.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And then we have the little ovals which
10	indicate six pump islands.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And we have soil boring 15 in the
13	middle of the tank field, and was that above Tier
14	2?
15	A. Soil boring 15 in the middle of the
16	pump island?
17	Q. Yeah, in the pump islands.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. But soil borings 11, 12, 14 and 16 were
20	not.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. When the first CAP came in, did
23	you review that CAP?
24	A. I did not.

Page 42 1 Have you reviewed the Agency's file on 2 that CAP? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And what was determined from that 5 Corrective Action Plan? The Corrective Action Plan was 6 7 rejected, I believe, due to issues with the Tier 2 8 analysis. 9 Q. And what exactly were the issues with 10 the Tier 2 analysis? I don't recall the exact issues, but, 11 Α. 12 you know. 13 What typically does that mean? 14 Α. Well, it typically means inputs with 15 the Tier 2 numbers, so the parameter values may 16 have needed adjusted. 17 And when we have a site where the Tier 0. 18 2 numbers need to be adjusted, is it possible then 19 to determine what corrective action needs to be 20 taken at the site? 2.1 Α. No. 22 So would it be able to determine Q. 23 whether tanks could be pulled? 24 Α. No.

Page 43 1 So then a second Corrective Action Plan 2 needed to be filed? 3 Α. Correct. 4 And was it filed? Ο. 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. Did you review that second Corrective 7 Action Plan? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And what did you determine? Q. Among other things, I determined that 10 it was not necessary to remove the underground 11 storage tanks to access the contaminated soil above 12 Tier 2. 13 14 And how did you come to that Q. Okay. 15 conclusion? 16 Well, the most contaminated borings are 17 SB-31 and SB-15. After that, you would have SB-17, 18 which is less contaminated than 31 and 15, and also 19 you have SB-22, 23 and 24, which are less than Tier 20 1, and between SB-15 and the tank pit you have SB 2.1 18 and 19, which are also below the Tier 2 22 objectives. 23 So we have a lot of below Q. Okay. 24 numbers and we have three hot borings?

Page 44 1 Correct. Α. 2 And do you know at this site where 3 normally would the fill to the tanks be? Would it 4 be over the tanks? Would it be somewhere else? 5 Α. The fill --6 0. Because it's not marked. 7 Α. There would be fill surrounding the 8 tanks. 9 Q. So that you would fill the tanks --10 Oh, you mean the fill port. Α. 11 The fill ports, yeah. The fill ports. Q. The fill ports, they could be over the 12 13 tanks or they could be at another location, a remote fill port. I'm not certain in this case 14 15 where they are. 16 So they may or may not be in any 0. Okay. 17 They may be in 17, they may be in of those fields. 18 15, or they may -- I mean, they may be in the blue, 19 the green, or the orange sections? 20 Most likely, they would either be over 2.1 the tanks or somewhere else not within the pump 22 island. 23 Okay. How far away is soil boring 17 24 from the pump distributor from the tank field?

Page 45 1 Roughly 17 feet. Α. 2 0. And how far is soil boring 31 from the 3 tank field? I believe it's around 30 feet. 4 Α. 5 And soil boring 31 is more or less contaminated than soil boring 17? 6 7 Α. Overall, more. 8 Q. Is soil boring 15 more or less 9 contaminated than soil boring 17? 10 Fifteen, more. Α. Are there any soil borings around the 11 tanks that indicate that the tank field was 12 contaminated above Tier 2? 13 14 Α. No. 15 When the tanks were pulled, how would 0. 16 you characterize the pulling of those tanks? 17 Could you reword the question? Α. 18 Sure. We have different types of tank 0. 19 We have ones that are done in early action 20 after they find contamination or there's a leak, 2.1 and then we have tank pulls where you get the 22 permits and you schedule the pull with the Fire 23 Marshal present. Which type of pull was this? 24 it a planned pull?

Page 46

A. It would be a planned pull pursuant to
the Corrective Action Plan.
Q. Where in the Corrective Action Plan or
what made you believe that it was a planned pull?
A. Well, the Corrective Action Plan
indicated that they were removing the soil in the
orange, blue, and green zones, you know, based upon
the objectives that were calculated. So, I mean,
they were indicating in the Corrective Action Plan
that they would need to you know, if they were
going to remove the soil in the older ones that
they would have to remove the tanks as well.
Q. And the tanks were actually removed by
the time that you reviewed your Corrective Action

- Plan?
- They were actually removed before the first Corrective Action Plan was approved.
 - 0. Was denied?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Yeah, denied.
- So in the Corrective Action Plan that you reviewed, it indicated that the tanks had been removed.
- I don't recall it indicated, but I was aware that they had already been removed.

Page 47 1 And what was the reasoning given 2 for them being removed? 3 To access the soil above Tier 2. Α. 4 I'm going to show you page 5 -- no, 0. 5 page 5 of the Corrective Action Plan, page 590 of 6 the record -- if you could just use the green part 7 to refresh your recollection. 8 This green part? Α. 9 Ο. Yes. Due to the needs of the current 10 property owner, the onsite excavation and UST 11 12 system removal has already been completed. The 13 excavation has been backfilled and service 14 restored. 15 Within one month of approval of this 16 CAP, money will fully be reinstalled, the sewer gas 17 will be flooded and the groundwater below the 18 network will then be sampled for dissolved BTEX/PNA 19 constituents. 20 So the tank removal was done for what 2.1 reason at the time that it was done? 22 According to what I just read, it says Α.

Right. Was there anything else that

due to the needs of the property owner.

23

24

Q.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018 Page 48 1 you noticed about the tank pulls or the remediation 2 that caused you concern? 3 I can't think of any right offhand. 4 When you reviewed the regs, what 0. 5 exactly -- in making your determination, what did 6 you find that the regulations stated? 7 Α. The regulations state that for 8 reimbursement purposes the fund does not pay for 9 activities that exceed the minimum requirements for 10 activities that are not necessary to stop, minimize, or eliminate the source of the release. 11 12 It does not pay for costs that are unreasonable. 13 What do the regulations and the Act say Ο. 14 about tanks pulls? 15 Tank pulls can be done without plan or 16 budget during early action, which is limited to the 17 first 59 days. Thereafter, any sort of corrective 18 action needs to be approved in the Corrective Action Plan. 19 20 And was a Corrective Action Plan 2.1 approved prior to this tank pull? 22 Α. No.

Agency in a Corrective Action Plan?

Was the tank pull ever approved by the

23

Page 49 1 Α. No. 2 When, at another times during the 0. 3 remediation, can a tank be removed? 4 If it's necessary to access soil 5 exceeding Tier 2. The Leaking UST regulations indicate that the fund will not pay for costs 6 7 associated with activities related to cleaning up to attract sufficient moisture in the Tier 2 8 9 objectives. 10 Q. Was there any evidence in the CAP that 11 you reviewed that there was soil above Tier 2 that 12 necessitated removal of this tank system? 13 Α. No. 14 I have a site assessment result 0. Okay. 15 report from the Office of State Fire Marshal. 16 you seen that report before? 17 Α. I believe so. 18 I'm going to admit it as People's 19 Exhibit Number 2. 2.0 (IEPA Exhibit Number 2 was marked for 2.1 identification.) 22 When is the Site Assessment Report 23 filed by the Office of -- filed to the Office of 24 State Fire Marshal?

Page 50

A. Typically, a Fi	ire Marshal is onsite to
oversee tank removal, and	if they see an indication
of contamination, they red	quire that a suspected
release be reported. The	n they also require that
samples be collected in a	ccordance, essentially,
with 734.210(h) to confirm	n the release.

2.0

2.1

- Q. And what does 732.210(h) require of the sampling?
- A. It requires the same thing as the early action sampling, which is a certain number of samples be collected around the walls and floor of the tank pit and also along the piping trenches.
- Q. And what does this site assessment filed with the Office of State Fire Marshal indicate in regards to this tank pull?
- A. It indicates that additional release was reported during UST removal. It indicates that it was considered to be a reporting of the original incident, and the results were that there was contaminations.
- Q. Okay. And are the sample results indicated in that report?
 - A. They are.
 - Q. And what do the sample results show as

September 19, 2018

	Page 51
1	far as the levels of contamination?
2	A. It compares the levels of contamination
3	to the Tier 1 remediation objectives and shows that
4	there were some exceedances of the Tier 1
5	remediation objectives.
6	Q. Are you able to tell from that whether
7	or not the Tier 2 objectives were they were over
8	Tier 2 objectives?
9	A. Not from this.
10	Q. Not from that. What would you need in
11	order to compare that?
12	A. A table from the Corrective Action Plan
13	showing the Tier 2 objectives.
14	Q. Okay. Here is the Corrective Action
15	Plan, the one under appeal. Can you find the table
16	in that?
17	A. I can. Right here, Table 1.
18	Q. Okay. And what are the I'll give
19	you a minute to review that and see what is
20	whether or not they're over the Tier 2 objectives.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What page of the
22	record is this?
23	MS. JARVIS: It is not in the record.

It's being offered as an exhibit. It's from the

	Page 52
1	Office of State Fire Marshal.
2	BY MS. JARVIS:
3	Q. Have you had a chance to review it?
4	A. I have.
5	Q. And were the samples above Tier 2
6	objectives?
7	A. It does not appear.
8	Q. So who was that document who filed
9	that document with the State Fire Marshal?
10	A. It says Jeff Wienhoff.
11	Q. And those samples were taken from the
12	pit that the tanks were in, correct?
13	A. The pit and the let's see. It looks
14	like the pit, the piping trench, and an area to the
15	north and west of the tank pit.
16	Q. Okay. And that indicates they were not
17	above the Tier 2 objectives?
18	A. Not the Tier 2 objectives that were
19	trying to be achieved.
20	Q. In their Corrective Action Plan?
21	A. Correct. The plan was designed with
22	industrial/commercial restriction and also designed
23	with groundwater restrictions, so the Tier 2
24	numbers for the sole component of groundwater and

	Page 53
1	for the residential inhalation are not relevant to
2	the corrective action. The original plan also did
3	include, you know, construction worker caution.
4	Q. Right. But that was removed in this
5	plan that's under appeal.
6	A. Correct.
7	MS. JARVIS: Okay. I have no further
8	questions.
9	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Mr. Shaw?
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SHAW:
12	Q. Have you ever been to this site?
13	A. I have not.
14	Q. Have you ever performed a tank pull?
15	A. No.
16	Q. About approximately how many incidents
17	are you the project manager for?
18	A. Several hundred.
19	Q. Several hundred. Are there any
20	incidents older than 1992?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. How many?
23	A. Impossible to know.
24	Q. Five percent, 50 percent? Approximate.

	Page 54
1	A. Without a database, unknown.
2	Q. What is the source of the 1992
3	incident?
4	A. The 1992 incident was reported when
5	IDOT did some testing at the intersection of
6	University and War Memorial Drive.
7	Q. What was the nature of the release?
8	A. The source was listed as spills and
9	overfills, tanks and piping tested tight.
10	Q. Do you have any information to
11	contradict that?
12	A. No.
13	Q. You approved removal of contaminated
14	soil in the green and blue sections of Exhibit 1,
15	is that correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And where was the original source of
18	the contamination from the blue and green sections?
19	A. Unknown.
20	Q. It's not from incident number '92?
21	A. Oh, yes.
22	Q. With respect to soil boring number 22,
23	is that downgradient from USTs?
24	A. Based on groundwater flow, no.

	Page 55
1	Q. Based on groundwater flow, no?
2	A. Right.
3	Q. What is what is the source, then, if
4	it's not downgradient from USTs?
5	A. The source of
6	Q. Contamination identifying soil boring
7	22.
8	A. There is no contamination of soil
9	boring 22.
10	Q. I've got the wrong number. I
11	apologize. I'll have the exhibit next to me here
12	now. Is soil boring number 23 downgradient from
13	the USTs?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Is soil boring 24 downgradient from the
16	USTs?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Is soil boring number 19 downgradient
19	from the USTs?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Is soil boring number 25 downgradient
22	from the USTs?
23	A. Yes, cross downgradient.
24	Q. What do you mean by cross downgradient?

Page 56 1 Downgradient you're basing gradient on 2 hydraulic, you know, flow of groundwater. 3 contamination doesn't flow through ground water, it 4 flows through soil until it hits the groundwater. 5 Q. Do you have any information that there 6 were any releases from a pump dispenser at the 7 site? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Why don't you think tanks need to be 10 removed? 11 Because the contamination around the 12 tanks at the time -- the soil borings closest to 13 the tanks did not exceed the Tier 2 numbers. 14 And what is the basis of that belief? 0. 15 Fact; concentrations, analytical Α. 16 results. 17 What analytical results? Q. 18 Α. SB-22, 23, 24, 18, 19, all below Tier 19 2. 20 But not soil boring 17? Q. 2.1 Α. Depending. 22 So you're picking and choosing which Q. 23 analyticals? 24 No. I looked at all the analyticals.

			Page 57
1	Q.	So you're not considering soil boring	
2	17.		
3	Α.	It was not considered in the original	
4	plan.		
5	Q.	So it was not considering soil boring	
6	17; that's	your testimony here?	
7	Α.	No, I considered it.	
8	Q.	Okay.	
9	Α.	I know what the concentration is.	
10	Q.	Are you considering soil boring 31?	
11	Α.	Yes.	
12	Q.	Is that contamination, correct?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Are they downgradient from the tanks?	
15	Α.	Based on groundwater flow, yes.	
16	Q.	Same with soil boring 15. Is that	
17	downgradie	nt from the USTs?	
18	Α.	Yes.	
19	Q.	If the contamination in the orange	
20	section exc	ceeded the applicable site remediation	
21	objectives	, would you agree the tanks need to be	
22	removed?		
23	А.	Yes.	
24	Q.	You've been handed something marked	

	Page 58
1	Exhibit 2. Did you use that or have access to that
2	when you made your decision to deny the Corrective
3	Action Plan?
4	A. I don't believe so.
5	Q. Is that information you needed to make
6	your decision?
7	A. No.
8	Q. What is a confirmation of sample's
9	purpose?
10	A. After you remove the tanks? Is that
11	what you're talking about, those confirmation
12	samples?
13	Q. Yeah.
14	A. You confirm whether or not you achieve
15	your objectives.
16	Q. So what are the possibilities from a
17	confirmation sample in terms of objectives?
18	A. They either exceed the objectives or
19	they don't.
20	Q. If they don't exceed the objectives,
21	what does that mean?
22	A. They have achieved their objectives.
23	Q. What if the objectives have been met in
24	confirmation samples?

Page 59 1 You need a better question. I mean, I 2 don't understand the question. What if they don't If they don't exceed, then you've met your 3 4 objectives. 5 If the confirmation samples do not show 6 exceedances of the applicable site remediation 7 objectives, what does that mean? 8 That means you've achieved the Α. 9 objectives of the plan. 10 With respect to Exhibit 2, do you know 11 where the samples were taken? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. Where? 14 Α. Tank pit piping trench in an area to 15 the west/northwest. 16 Were any of these samples collected Ο. 17 within the area proposed to be hauled to the landfill in the Corrective Action Plan? 18 19 Α. Many were. 20 I have no further questions. MR. SHAW: 2.1 MS. JARVIS: I just have a couple. 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. JARVIS: 24 Let's discuss soil boring 17.

	Page 60
1	discussed whether or not it was considered. Why
2	was soil boring 17 considered, not considered, in
3	the original plan?
4	A. The original plan proposed construction
5	worker caution. I did not review the original
6	plan, but construction worker caution for SB-17
7	would not odd for purposes of corrective action.
8	Q. So if they had a construction worker
9	caution, soil boring 17 would be considered to have
10	met the objectives?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. But without it, it needs to be
13	remediated.
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Soil boring 15, and I know we're
16	discussing what groundwater flow, the groundwater
17	flow, okay, and I'm going to use the map so I know
18	this isn't going to be really great, but the arrow
19	shows groundwater flow down towards the
20	intersection, correct?
21	A. Not on the figure that we looked at
22	previously.
23	Q. Where did the groundwater flow show?

I just

Was it coming from the site building over?

	Page 61
1	want to clarify some confusion. It should be in
2	there.
3	A. No. This was the Corrective Action
4	Plan. I think that the one that you showed me
5	earlier was in the Site Investigation Completion
6	Report.
7	Q. Okay. I'm sure I did not leave that
8	there.
9	A. Okay. Here we go.
10	Q. Okay. So where exactly are the tanks?
11	A. The tanks are right here.
12	Q. So
13	A. The tanks are right here.
14	Q. Okay. So there's tanks and so it's
15	flowing this way?
16	A. Correct.
17	Q. Okay. So it's all flowing that way.
18	So then
19	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Can we just make
20	it clear for someone reading the transcript?
21	MS. JARVIS: Sure.
22	BY MS. JARVIS:
23	Q. It is flowing to the
24	A. West/northwest.

Page 62

	- 3.95
1	Q. West/northwest. Well, no. It is
2	flowing to the south because here's north. I'm
3	sure he's right; I'm the one that's confused. So
4	this is for the lawyers' edification. So, on this,
5	it is flowing up
6	A. Correct.
7	Q that way.
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. I got it.
10	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Northwest.
11	MS. JARVIS: Northwest, uh-huh. Thank
12	you for clarifying because I got turned around.
13	BY MS. JARVIS:
14	Q. The information in the submittal to the
15	Office of State Fire Marshal, would that
16	information have been helpful to know in making
17	your determination as to whether or not the tanks
18	needed to be removed? Not necessary, but would it
19	have been helpful?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And when we're looking at the
22	information to make a determination as to whether
23	or not something exceeds Tier 2, whose information

are you reviewing to make that determination?

	Page 63
1	A. I'm reviewing the information provided
2	in the plan.
3	Q. And who submits the plan?
4	A. The consultant.
5	Q. So you're not finding your own
6	information.
7	A. Correct.
8	Q. And it's all information submitted by
9	Mr. Wienhoff and his company when they submitted
10	the plan?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And so it's only off that basis that we
13	make a determination?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. So if that information doesn't have in
16	it the proof to justify a tank pull, then that's
17	information they submitted?
18	A. Correct.
19	MS. JARVIS: I have no further
20	questions.
21	RECROSS EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. SHAW:
23	Q. This information that you just
24	indicated would be helpful, did you ever request it

	Page 64
1	from the consultant?
2	A. This information should have been
3	provided in the 45-day report.
4	Q. Are you sometimes shy about requesting
5	information from consultants?
6	A. No.
7	Q. The record suggests that you were not
8	shy about requesting this information, so why
9	didn't you request this information?
10	A. I don't know.
11	Q. It's because you didn't think about it
12	at the time it would have been helpful, isn't that
13	correct?
14	A. No.
15	MR. SHAW: No further questions.
16	MS. JARVIS: I have nothing further.
17	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank
18	you, Mr. Benanti.
19	MS. JARVIS: You can bring all my
20	documents.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does the
22	Agency
23	MS. JARVIS: We have no further
24	witnesses.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

Page 65 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And you are 2 offering --3 MS. JARVIS: This is Exhibit 2 and the 4 map is Exhibit 1. 5 MR. SHAW: We object to the admission of the evidence -- Exhibit 2, not Exhibit 1. 6 7 a document that is not in the record. It wasn't 8 relied upon by the Agency as a basis of decision, 9 didn't find it necessary to request the 10 information, and I think the dating of it is past the decision. It's certainly past the -- when the 11 12 plan was submitted. These were samples taken after 13 the work was done. 14 MS. JARVIS: The reason it's being 15 submitted is because on his direct testimony Mr. Wienhoff said he did not know the 16 17 concentrations of the confirmation borings that 18 were done after he removed the tanks. He did not 19 know those concentrations, and that is being 20 submitted to show that not only should he have 2.1 known it, he submitted it to the Fire Marshal. 22 it is based on -- going after his testimony, that 23 it is based on whether or not we made the decision 24 on it.

	Page 66
1	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. I'm going
2	to admit Exhibit 2 into evidence.
3	Do you have anything further,
4	Ms. Jarvis?
5	MS. JARVIS: I have nothing further.
6	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
7	Mr. Shaw, would you like to make any
8	closing argument?
9	MR. SHAW: No. I'm going to recall
10	Mr. Wienhoff because of your ruling.
11	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
12	Mr. Wienhoff, you are still under oath. You may
13	have a seat up here.
14	MR. SHAW: And just to be clear, I
15	mean, we had our argument and I'm not going to
16	repeat it, but I would ask information about that
17	exhibit going into evidence solely because it's
18	been admitted. Otherwise, I don't want to be
19	considered that I'm waiving this by asking
20	questions about it.
21	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
22	
23	JEFF WIENHOFF
24	recalled as a witness on behalf of the Petitioner,

	Page 67
1	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified
2	as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. SHAW:
5	Q. Do you have Mr. Wienhoff, do you
6	have Exhibit 2?
7	A. Yeah.
8	Q. Could you identify that exhibit for me?
9	A. Yeah. It was the Site Assessment
10	Report that we submitted to the Fire Marshal
11	following removal of the USTs.
12	Q. Do you know about when you would have
13	submitted that?
14	A. I don't see it would have been
15	30 days of removal. I'm trying to find a date on
16	here. It looks like 3-1 of 2016.
17	Q. Okay. What was the nature of the
18	sampling that was taken as a part of the Site
19	Assessment Report?
20	A. The sampling that was taken was the
21	sample at the extent of the proposed Corrective
22	Action Plan. None of the samples collected were
23	within the material held to the landfill. They
24	were all at the edges of the proposed Corrective

Page 68 1 Action Plan that was under review by Mr. Benanti. 2 Would these be confirmation samples? 3 To me, they are confirmation samples 4 that the submitted plan was effective at its stated 5 goals. 6 Would you have given the results to the 7 Agency if they had requested it? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Do you see them having any relevance as 10 to whether or not there was contamination above 11 site remediation objectives in the orange area of 12 the map? 13 I do not. It shows that the Α. contamination at the extent of the orange area was 14 15 above Tier 1 but below Tier 2 as the plan proposed. 16 MR. SHAW: No further questions. 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Jarvis? 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. JARVIS: 20 So it's your testimony that you Okay. 2.1 submitted this to the Fire Marshal on March 1st, 22 2016? 23 Α. Correct.

And the date of the Corrective Action

Page 69 1 Plan currently under review is January 16, 2017. 2 Α. Correct. 3 0. So this information was available 4 before you submitted the Corrective Action Plan to 5 the Agency. 6 Α. That's correct. 7 But you did not submit any of this 8 information in your Corrective Action Plan. 9 Α. That's correct. We took --10 And when I asked you before, prior, 11 whether or not you had taken confirmation samples 12 after you removed the tanks, you testified no, 13 isn't that correct? 14 Α. I testified, no, that I had not taken 15 samples of the shorter one to the landfill. 16 I also asked if you took it from Ο. No. 17 the walls after you removed the tanks. 18 Α. That's not my recollection. 19 Well, that's my recollection, and we 20 can always look back at the testimony. 2.1 Α. If I did, I was mistaken, because we 22 did collect confirmation samples. Obviously, we 23 did not collect material that's hauled to the

24

landfill.

Page 70

1	Q. Right. And I asked you the first
2	question, and then you said about what you hauled
3	to the landfill, and then you said, I didn't test
4	that, but my first question is whether or not you
5	had taken confirmation samples in the pit where the
6	tank had been removed.
7	A. Okay. I apologize if I was wrong about
8	that, but obviously we did take confirmation
9	samples when we removed the tanks.
10	MS. JARVIS: I have no further
11	questions.
12	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. SHAW:
14	Q. Did the Corrective Action Plan have any
15	description of confirmation sampling methods that
16	were going to be used?
17	A. It did.
18	Q. Did you use those methods?
19	A. We did.
20	Q. When confirmation samples are taken,
21	when are they usually submitted to the Agency?
22	A. After approval of the plan in the
23	completion report.
24	Q. Was the plan approved here?

	Page 71
1	A. No.
2	MR. SHAW: No further questions.
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. JARVIS:
5	Q. However, you had already removed the
6	tanks prior to the plan, correct?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. So wouldn't it have been a good idea to
9	submit the results of the confirmation sampling to
10	show that your plan had worked in order to better
11	get it approved?
12	A. We I mean, you could argue that.
13	When we took the plan, it was rejected and then the
14	modifications requested by the Agency and
15	resubmitted it.
16	MS. JARVIS: I have no further
17	questions.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. SHAW:
20	Q. Again, I'll just ask you the question
21	that I previously asked the Agency. Did they ever
22	request the confirmation samples to be given to
23	them that were mentioned in the Corrective Action
24	Plan?

	Page 72
1	A. No.
2	MR. SHAW: No further questions.
3	RECROSS EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. JARVIS:
5	Q. But ultimately I have one further
6	question.
7	Ultimately, it's your responsibility to
8	submit a Corrective Action Plan that can be
9	approved by the Agency.
10	A. Correct.
11	MS. JARVIS: Nothing further.
12	MR. SHAW: I have no further questions.
13	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Does
14	either party have anything else to present today?
15	MS. JARVIS: No.
16	HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.
17	The transcript is due by September 26th
18	and will be posted on the Board's website.
19	The Public Comment deadline is
20	October 3rd. Public Comment must be filed in
21	accordance with Section 101.628 of the Board's
22	Procedural Rules.
23	The Petitioner's brief is due by
24	October 10th. Respondent's brief is due by

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

```
Page 73
 1
     October 24th, and Petitioner's Reply, if any, is
 2
     due by October 31st.
 3
                Mr. Shaw, would you like to make any
     closing argument?
 4
 5
                MR. SHAW: I'll reserve closing
 6
     arguments for the brief.
 7
                HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Ms. Jarvis,
     would you like to make any closing arguments?
 8
 9
                MS. JARVIS: I will also reserve
10
     closing arguments for the brief.
11
                HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right.
12
     Thank you.
                At this time I will conclude the
13
14
     proceedings. We stand adjourned.
15
                Thank you all.
16
                   (Hearing concluded at 11:26 a.m.)
17
18
19
2.0
2.1
22
23
24
```

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018

September 19, 2018

```
Page 74
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                  SS
                             )
 2
     COUNTY OF MACON
 3
 4
 5
                    I, LISA HAHN PETERMAN, do hereby
 6
     certify that I am a Certified Shorthand Reporter and
 7
     Notary Public in the State of Illinois and that I
     reported in shorthand the foregoing, taken on the
 8
     19th day of September, 2018, and that the foregoing
 9
     is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand
10
11
     notes so taken.
12
13
14
15
                   Notary Public -- CSR, RMR
                   CSR #084.2149
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	İ		İ	
A	70:21 71:14,21	asked 17:17 69:10	20:23 28:22	brought 8:18
a.m 1:16 4:5 73:16	72:9	69:16 70:1 71:21	behalf 5:6,9 6:6	BTEX 24:19 25:1,3
able 42:22 51:6	Agency's 42:1	asking 66:19	36:5 66:24	25:4
access 10:7 21:9	agree 57:21	assessment 49:14	belief 27:15 56:14	BTEX/PNA 47:18
43:12 47:3 49:4	agreements 12:23	49:22 50:13 67:9	believe 8:9 10:9	budget 4:15 48:16
58:1	13:2	67:19	12:13 19:1 23:20	building 60:24
account 12:19	air 25:23,24 26:8	Assistant 5:9	27:13,14 31:7,11	
achieve 58:14	allow 14:1	associated 49:7	31:16 32:9,21,22	C
achieved 52:19	analysis 42:8,10	assume 7:12	33:24 39:3 40:16	C 2:1
58:22 59:8	analytical 40:23	attained 6:14	42:7 45:4 46:4	calculated 46:8
act 5:1 17:13 27:18	56:15,17	attention 15:10	49:17 58:4	calculations 19:18
48:13	analyticals 56:23	16:15	Benanti 3:10 24:4	call 5:23 14:6 15:16
action 4:15 11:11	56:24	Attorney 5:9	35:21 36:4,18	27:19 35:19,20
11:14 12:12,14,15	apologize 55:11	attract 49:8	64:18 68:1	called 6:6 8:16
13:22 19:11,16,18	70:7	authority 12:23	beneath 13:3 15:5	12:14 14:8 27:9
19:24 20:8 25:18	appeal 1:5 19:19	13:2	34:11	36:5
28:2,14 29:2,3,7,9	51:15 53:5	automatically 29:6	best 21:2	CAP 41:22,23 42:2
29:24 42:5,6,19	appear 52:7	available 31:7 69:3	betcha 21:23	47:16 49:10
43:1,7 45:19 46:2	appearances 5:5	Avenue 1:15	better 28:14 31:14	capped 28:13
46:3,5,9,14,17,20	applicable 18:23	aware 12:7 22:16	59:1 71:10	car 34:3
47:5 48:16,18,19	31:10 57:20 59:6	27:4 46:24	blown-up 36:11	Carol 1:11 4:2
48:20,24 50:10	approach 13:5		blue 15:11,16 16:7	cars 33:8
51:12,14 52:20	approval 26:24	<u>B</u>	16:7,18 41:6	case 4:8,14,19 29:2
53:2 58:3 59:18	27:3 47:15 70:22	B 3:15	44:18 46:7 54:14	40:9 44:14
60:7 61:3 67:22	approved 10:18	Bachelor 37:10	54:18	cause 21:21
68:1,24 69:4,8	11:8 19:12,16	Bachelor's 6:15	Board 1:1,14 2:2	caused 48:2
70:14 71:23 72:8	26:11 40:15,19	back 10:16 11:6	4:18 13:8 35:13	caution 53:3 60:5,6
activities 48:9,10	46:17 48:18,21,23	20:22 22:21 24:1	36:2	60:9
49:7	54:13 70:24 71:11	26:17 28:1,13,22	Board's 5:1,3 72:18	certain 38:15 40:22
addition 25:8	72:9	31:22 34:14 35:18	72:21	44:14 50:10
additional 11:11	Approximate 53:24	69:20	boring 23:9,9 41:12	certainly 65:11
27:9 50:16	approximately	backfill 15:3,6	41:15 44:23 45:2	certifications 37:13
adjacent 13:4	53:16	backfilled 47:13	45:5,6,8,9 54:22	Certified 74:6
adjourned 73:14	area 13:21 14:7,24	background 6:14	55:6,9,12,15,18	certify 74:6
adjusted 42:16,18	15:3,11,16 18:11	6:24	55:21 56:20 57:1	chance 52:3
admission 65:5	19:8 52:14 59:14	bad 11:17	57:5,10,16 59:24	characterize 45:16
admit 49:18 66:2	59:17 68:11,14	based 11:21 15:4	60:2,9,15	Chemical 6:16
admitted 3:16	areas 17:12	29:20 31:6,11	borings 10:8 18:3,7	37:10
35:12,14 66:18	argue 71:12	39:21 40:23 46:7	18:17,18 23:1,3	choosing 56:22
age 40:23	argument 66:8,15	54:24 55:1 57:15	31:14 32:7 40:24	chose 27:2
Agency 1:6 4:14	73:4	65:22,23	41:7,19 43:16,24	chosen 18:6
5:10 10:18 21:5	arguments 73:6,8	basing 56:1	45:11 56:12 65:17	circumstances 29:5
28:17 35:19 36:24	73:10	basis 56:14 63:12	brief 35:17 72:23	clarified 26:6
40:3 48:24 64:22	arrow 39:21 60:18	65:8	72:24 73:6,10	clarify 61:1
65:8 68:7 69:5	aside 15:19 16:20	beginning 4:4	bring 64:19	clarifying 62:12

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018 September 19, 2018

clean 10:8	concludes 11:16	29:20 30:1,13	68:24 69:4,8	16:22 17:1
cleaning 49:7	conclusion 43:15	31:8 45:20 50:3	70:14 71:23 72:8	describe 13:20 14:2
cleanups 10:22	conditions 18:21	51:1,2 54:18 55:6	costs 48:12 49:6	16:16
clear 4:22 61:20	conduct 4:20	55:8 56:3,11	County 1:13 4:7	description 70:15
66:14	conducted 5:1	57:12,19 68:10,14	74:2	designed 52:21,22
Clerk 4:13	confer 35:3	contaminations	couple 59:21	determination 48:5
close 7:17 18:9	confirm 50:6 58:14	50:20	court 6:1 35:22	62:17,22,24 63:13
34:11	confirmation 58:8	continue 40:12	covered 29:6	determine 42:19,22
closest 18:22 19:1	58:11,17,24 59:5	continued 40:13,14	cross 3:8 20:20	43:9
56:12	65:17 68:2,3	continuing 7:19	53:10 55:23,24	determined 16:19
closing 3:4 66:8	69:11,22 70:5,8	contract 8:10	68:18	42:4 43:10
73:4,5,8,10	70:15,20 71:9,22	contradict 54:11	CSR 1:12 74:15,16	diesel 25:6,7,13
collect 31:1 69:22	confused 62:3	Control 1:1,14 2:2	current 9:4 47:10	difference 23:21
69:23	confusion 61:1	4:18	currently 69:1	24:24
collected 50:5,11	connected 37:15	convenience 35:13	CW3M 7:2,8,12	different 9:2 13:24
59:16 67:22	connects 17:15	copy 22:23 36:11		45:18
color 14:3	considered 50:18	correct 12:6 17:1	D	differently 20:11
colors 13:24	57:3,7 60:1,2,2,9	18:14 19:9 22:5	D 3:1	dig 18:12
come 43:14	66:19	22:13,20 23:10	database 54:1	direct 3:8 6:9 36:8
coming 26:19 60:24	considering 57:1,5	25:9 27:7,10,13	date 29:19 67:15	39:18 65:15 67:3
comment 4:12,12	57:10	27:23 28:4 29:8	68:24	direction 10:9,11
72:19,20	consistent 19:6	30:5,6,14,15,16	dating 65:10	31:15 34:11
commercial 12:21	constituents 47:19	31:1 33:15,18	day 1:15 29:22 74:9	discuss 5:13 59:24
12:22	construction 25:20	34:1 38:12 39:1	days 48:17 67:15	discussed 60:1
company 4:3 5:7	32:1,5 38:1,3 53:3	40:5 43:3 44:1	deadline 4:23 72:19	discussing 60:16
7:2 8:7 9:16 63:9	60:4,6,8	52:12,21 53:6	dealing 7:10 9:5	dispenser 34:3 56:6
compare 51:11	consultant 9:4 18:5	54:15 57:12 60:11	December 4:23	dispensers 16:14
compares 51:2	63:4 64:1	60:14,20 61:16	decide 40:21	dissolved 47:18
complete 9:12	consultants 9:2	62:6,8 63:7,11,14	decision 4:19,22	distance 18:15
completed 9:5	64:5	63:18 64:13 68:23	58:2,6 65:8,11,23	distributor 44:24
47:12	Consulting 7:19	69:2,6,9,13 71:6,7	defined 11:2 28:15	document 52:8,9
completely 19:15	contact 27:20	72:10 74:10	degree 6:15 37:9	65:7
completing 26:22	contaminant 24:17	Correction 28:13	delineated 10:3	documents 10:24
completion 10:23	25:16	corrective 4:15	delineation 9:12,23	64:20
12:16 61:5 70:23	contaminants	11:11,14 12:12,14	demonstrates 11:1	doing 7:16 14:12
component 52:24	17:16 24:14,15	12:15 13:22 19:11	denial 28:7	20:4
components 24:20	25:5	19:16,18,23 20:8	denied 10:7 26:11	downgradient 19:9
concentration 57:9	contaminated 16:6	25:18 28:1 29:23	28:2 40:19 46:18	31:15 54:23 55:4
concentrations	17:5 43:12,16,18	42:5,6,19 43:1,6	46:19	55:12,15,18,21,23
56:15 65:17,19	45:6,9,13 54:13	46:2,3,5,9,14,17	deny 58:2	55:24 56:1 57:14
concern 48:2	contamination	46:20 47:5 48:17	departmental 6:17	57:17
conclude 11:11	9:13,24 10:3,12	48:18,20,24 51:12	Depending 56:21	Drive 37:24 54:6
73:13	11:1,16,18 12:8	51:14 52:20 53:2	depends 12:13	due 32:1 42:7 47:10
concluded 11:15	13:3 14:14 16:1,3	58:2 59:18 60:7	18:20	47:23 72:17,23,24
73:16	17:12,18 23:5	61:3 67:21,24	depth 15:4,20 16:1	73:2

duly 6.7.26.6.67.1	40:10 65:6 66:2	fact 23:4 29:2	flow 20.14 15 17	71:22
duly 6:7 36:6 67:1	49:10 65:6 66:2	31:12 56:15	flow 38:14,15,17	
E	66:17		39:14,20 54:24	glasses 36:13
E 2:1,1 3:1,15	evidenced 12:8	familiar 8:2,5,15	55:1 56:2,2,3	go 7:13 14:1 20:22
e-mail 26:4	exact 42:11	far 14:5 19:3 32:7	57:15 60:16,17,19	22:21 24:2,6 28:1
earlier 61:5	exactly 42:9 48:5 61:10	44:23 45:2 51:1	60:23	28:22 31:22 35:18
early 21:9 29:1,3,7		feet 14:10,23 15:1	flowing 10:12	37:5 39:16 40:17
29:9 45:19 48:16	EXAMINATION	15:18,20,24 18:19	61:15,17,23 62:2 62:5	41:2 61:9
50:9	6:9 20:20 29:14	19:5 32:9,10		goals 68:5
easier 21:24	30:11 31:4,20	34:16 45:1,4	flows 56:4	going 13:5 14:1,22
East 1:15	36:8 53:10 59:22	field 41:4,13 44:24	focus 7:20	15:4,16 16:12
edges 67:24	63:21 67:3 68:18	45:3,12	follow 34:8	17:11 20:22 21:14
edification 62:4	70:12 71:3,18	fields 44:17	follow-up 30:9	21:15 22:21 24:1
educational 6:14	72:3	Fifteen 45:10	34:21	28:8,21 31:22
effective 68:4	examined 6:7 36:6	figure 60:21	following 10:20	35:20 36:13 46:11
eight 15:20,24	67:1	file 13:8 21:3 42:1	12:16,23 67:11	47:4 49:18 60:17
16:22	excavated 17:24	filed 4:13 21:5	follows 6:8 36:7	60:18 65:22 66:1
either 44:20 58:18	18:16	27:12 40:15 43:2	67:2	66:9,15,17 70:16
72:14	excavation 13:21	43:4 49:23,23	foot 15:4,5 16:19	good 4:1 6:13 71:8
elevated 24:15,16	14:5,6 16:21 19:4	50:14 52:8 72:20	16:20,22	grad 6:21
24:18	30:20 47:11,13	fill 11:23 12:4,5	foregoing 74:8,9	grade 14:10
· -	exceed 48:9 56:13	15:5 44:3,5,7,9,10	forward 21:1	gradient 56:1
eligible 33:10 34:3 eliminate 48:11	58:18,20 59:3,3	44:11,11,12,14	found 16:2 36:12	graduate 37:7
EMA 27:20	exceedances 28:8	final 4:19	four 15:18,20,24	graduating 6:20
	28:15,16 51:4	find 23:24 45:20	25:11,13	Grand 1:14
employed 7:1 36:19	59:6	48:6 51:15 65:9	front 29:19	granted 4:8
36:21	exceeded 18:23	67:15	fuel 25:14	great 60:18
employment 6:22	19:2 20:14 31:9	finding 24:7 63:5	fully 47:16	green 7:19 16:16
ended 26:17	57:20	finish 9:23 10:9	fund 48:8 49:6	16:17 17:2,5,18
Engineer 7:6 37:1	exceeding 49:5	Fire 29:21 45:22	further 20:17 29:10	44:19 46:7 47:6,8
37:14	exceeds 62:23	49:15,24 50:1,14	30:7 31:3,4,13,20	54:14,18
engineering 6:16	excused 35:1	52:1,9 62:15	34:18 35:5 53:7	ground 32:23 56:3
7:2 37:11	exhibit 3:18,19	65:21 67:10 68:21	59:20 63:19 64:15	groundwater 12:20
entered 10:1	13:7,9,12,13,18	firm 29:19	64:16,23 66:3,5	19:7 38:14 39:19
entire 21:3 37:4	35:8,12 36:11,13	first 5:23 6:7 8:5,15	68:16 70:10 71:2	47:17 52:23,24
40:4	36:15 41:1 49:19	9:17 19:16,23	71:16 72:2,5,11	54:24 55:1 56:2,4
environmental 1:6	49:20 51:24 54:14	25:18 26:4 28:1	72:12	57:15 60:16,16,19
5:10 7:1,10,16,20	55:11 58:1 59:10	31:16 35:19 36:6		60:23
37:1	65:3,4,6,6 66:2,17	39:5 40:2 41:22	<u>G</u>	guess 8:16 26:17
EPA 36:20 37:4	67:6,8	46:17 48:17 67:1	gas 9:13 32:2 47:16	28:19 34:13 35:9
essentially 9:10	expected 30:4	70:1,4	gasoline 25:4,8	
50:5	extent 10:2 11:1	five 12:2 16:19,20	General 5:9	Н
establish 28:7,10	30:20 67:21 68:14	16:22 18:19 25:11	generally 31:12	H 3:15
estimated 15:3		25:14 53:24	give 5:17 8:21 13:6	HAHN 1:11 74:5
evidence 30:23	F	flooded 47:17	36:1 51:18	half 7:18 39:5
33:11 34:6 35:8	facility 4:6	floor 50:11	given 47:1 68:6	handed 57:24

haul 15:21	IEPA 3:18,19 4:3	inhalation 53:1	K	44:13
hauled 30:22 59:17	36:11,15 49:20	inhouse 26:11	keep 22:8	locations 18:6
69:23 70:2	II 9:6,10 18:4 31:9	initial 26:10	keep 22:8 kept 22:11	long 36:21 37:2
head 19:15 23:23	III 9:19,22 10:5,15	initially 19:12	kerosene 25:15	look 25:2,4 36:2
hearing 1:11 3:3,4	10:17,20,24 11:5	inputs 42:14	key 13:23	69:20
4:1,2,9,20,24 5:11	38:23	inspector 29:21	kind 7:17 9:2 20:22	looked 56:24 60:21
5:16,22 20:18	Illico 1:3 4:3 5:7	interest 4:8	22:18 34:1 38:6	looking 24:12
29:12 30:8 34:20	8:2 26:17 37:15	intersection 37:23	know 18:8 19:7	62:21
34:23 35:4,7,11	Illinois 1:1,6,13,14	38:4,9 39:24 54:5	23:21,22 24:2	looks 39:21 52:13
35:14,22 51:21	1:15 2:2 5:10 7:5	60:20	30:16 32:4 34:10	67:16
53:9 61:19 62:10	36:20 74:1,7	inventory 30:18	38:13 42:12 44:2	lot 19:20 43:23
64:17,21 65:1	impacted 17:2	investigation 9:3,6	46:7,10 53:3,23	LUST 8:11,24
66:1,6,11,21	Impossible 53:23	9:11,20,22 10:6	56:2 57:9 59:10	10:22,22
68:17 72:13,16	inch 34:16	10:10,15,17,21,23	60:15,17 62:16	
73:7,11,16	incident 29:16,17	11:10 12:15,17	64:10 65:16,19	M
held 16:22 67:23	29:18 40:8 50:19	18:4 31:9 38:21	67:12	ma'am 26:9
help 36:14	54:3,4,20	61:5	known 4:7 65:21	Macon 1:13 74:2
helpful 62:16,19	incidents 8:11	involved 7:24 18:8		maintaining 18:10
63:24 64:12	53:16,20	island 41:16 44:22	L	making 48:5 62:16
higher 24:19,22	include 53:3	islands 41:10,17	landfill 14:9 15:22	manager 26:4
highest 6:14	Independent 1:3	issue 29:24	16:23 30:22 59:18	37:17 53:17
highlighted 21:24	4:3 5:7 8:3	issues 7:11,20 42:7	67:23 69:15,24	manner 4:21
highway 12:22	indicate 23:1 41:10	42:9,11	70:3	map 13:21 14:4
13:1	45:12 49:6 50:15	- J	lawsuit 8:20	17:19 22:21 31:22
hits 56:4	indicated 12:9,13		lawyers' 62:4	36:11 38:6,7,16
hold 4:9 36:23 38:6	23:6 25:15 46:6	January 69:1	leak 45:20	38:17 39:14 41:2
honors 6:17	46:21,23 50:22	Jarvis 5:8,8,15,20	leaking 22:15,17	60:17 65:4 68:12
hot 23:2,6,7 33:19	63:24	13:11,15 20:19,21	49:5	March 68:21
43:24	indicates 50:16,17	24:6,9 29:10 30:8	leave 22:18 61:7	mark 13:14 marked 13:6,10
hotter 24:13	52:16	30:10,12 31:3,19 31:21 34:18 35:2	led 32:17	36:10,15 44:6
hottest 23:20 32:7	indicating 27:15	35:16,20 36:1,9	left 7:12 25:23,24	49:20 57:24
32:19 41:7	46:9	38:22 39:4,7,11	let's 28:1 41:2	Marlin 7:16 8:10
hundred 53:18,19	indication 22:14	39:14,16,17 51:23	52:13 59:24	8:11 9:14,17,19
hydraulic 56:2	50:2	52:2 53:7 59:21	letter 27:15	10:1
T	indicator 25:3 industrial 12:21,21	59:23 61:21,22	levels 24:19,22 51:1	Marshal 29:21
idea 71:8	industrial/comm	62:11,13 63:19	51:2 license 7:3	45:23 49:15,24
identification	52:22	64:16,19,23 65:3	Licensed 7:5 37:14	50:1,14 52:1,9
13:10 36:16 49:21	inference 31:14	65:14 66:4,5	life 26:20	62:15 65:21 67:10
IDENTIFIED 3:16	information 31:7	68:17,19 70:10	limited 48:16	68:21
identify 67:8	54:10 56:5 58:5	71:4,16 72:4,11	LISA 1:11 74:5	material 12:18 15:5
identifying 55:6	62:14,16,22,23	72:15 73:7,9	listed 41:1 54:8	15:18,20 19:21
IDOT 32:1 37:22	63:1,6,8,15,17,23	Jeff 3:9,11 6:5,12	little 41:9	30:21 31:2,12,16
38:7 54:5	64:2,5,8,9 65:10	52:10 66:23	located 4:7,16 14:3	67:23 69:23
IEMA 21:6,17	66:16 69:3,8	justify 63:16	location 34:10	materials 15:3
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	•	•	•	•

matter 11:15 29:1	naphthalene 24:23		15:23 20:22,24	ovals 41:9
matters 5:12	25:1,4,5	0	21:12,14,16,19	Overall 45:7
mean 11:13,13	nature 7:7 15:6,15	oath 66:12	22:17 23:8,15,18	overburden 15:17
14:19 18:3,12,18	54:7 67:17	object 65:5	23:24 24:6,8,17	16:19
28:10 32:12 33:6	near 32:10	objections 19:14,24	24:21,24 25:7,17	overfill 33:8 34:1,2
34:15 42:13 44:10	near 32.10 nearest 31:14	20:4	26:5,10 27:17	34:6,7
44:18 46:8 55:24	necessarily 34:17	objectives 12:19,21	28:23,24 29:10	overfills 11:21,22
58:21 59:1,7	necessary 11:12,14	15:19,21 16:2	31:19,23 32:3,7	16:5 17:20 22:1
66:15 71:12	13:2 14:15 20:7	18:24 19:2,22	32:12 34:13 35:15	33:7,9,14,22 54:9
means 42:14 59:8	20:13 43:11 48:10	20:15 28:11 31:10 43:22 46:8 49:9	36:10,17 38:1,5	oversee 50:2
Melanie 5:8	49:4 62:18 65:9	51:3,5,7,8,13,20	38:17 39:16 40:9	owner 26:17,23
members 4:11,18	necessitated 49:12	52:6,17,18 57:21	40:17 41:2,22	27:2 37:18 47:11
Memorial 37:24	need 16:8 22:24	58:15,17,18,20,22	43:14,23 44:16,23	47:23
38:9 39:24 54:6	36:12 42:18 46:10	58:23 59:4,7,9	47:1 49:14 50:21	
mentioned 71:23	51:10 56:9 57:21	60:10 68:11	51:14,18 52:16	P
met 58:23 59:3	59:1	obviously 33:21	53:7,9 57:8 60:17	P 2:1,1
60:10	needed 16:10 17:6	40:3 69:22 70:8	61:7,9,10,14,17	page 13:8 21:20
methods 70:15,18	17:8 26:21 42:16	occupation 6:23	62:9 64:17 66:1,6	24:13 39:2,18
microfiche 21:10	43:2 58:5 62:18	7:4	66:11,21 67:17	47:4,5,5 51:21
mid 21:9	needs 42:19 47:10	occur 11:22	68:20 70:7 72:13	PAGES 3:2
middle 33:4 41:13	47:23 48:18 60:12	October 4:13 72:20	older 46:11 53:20	parameter 42:15
41:15	neighboring 9:24	72:24 73:1,2	ones 45:19 46:11	part 18:4 25:22
migrated 13:3	network 47:18	odd 60:7	onsite 10:3 47:11	35:8,10,12 47:6,8
migration 17:12,13	neutral 4:21	offered 51:24	50:1	67:18
17:15 19:7 32:17	new 36:12	offering 35:7 65:2	open 8:11	parties 5:4
minimize 48:11	normal 40:10	offhand 48:3	opening 3:3 5:17	parties' 4:9
minimum 48:9	normally 40:10	Office 49:15,23,23	5:18,20	party 72:14
minute 51:19	44:3	50:14 52:1 62:15	opinion 20:6,12	pathway 17:14,15
mistaken 69:21	north 1:14 4:16	Officer 1:11 3:3,4	23:4 31:6	17:16 32:17
modifications	10:13 38:10 52:15	4:1 5:11,16,22	opinions 8:21	Patrick 5:6
71:14	62:2	20:18 29:12 30:8	orange 14:4,7	pay 48:8,12 49:6
modified 4:14	northwest 10:13	34:20,23 35:4,7	17:21 19:21 23:2	PCB 1:5 4:3
19:19	62:10,11	35:11,22 51:21	23:4,6,7 41:3	People's 49:18
moisture 49:8	notary 1:12 74:7,15	53:9 61:19 62:10	44:19 46:7 57:19	Peoria 4:7,17 8:17
money 47:16	notes 74:11	64:17,21 65:1	68:11,14	percent 8:1 53:24 53:24
month 47:15	noticed 4:24 48:1	66:1,6,11,21	order 16:6 17:4,9	
morning 4:1 6:13	notification 25:21	68:17 72:13,16	51:11 71:10	percentage 7:23 perform 20:8
move 17:16	27:24	73:7,11	orderly 4:21	performed 11:3
moved 26:23	number 3:16 9:1	offsite 10:9	original 11:20	18:3 53:14
myriad 26:16	13:9 25:14 29:17	Oh 44:10 54:21	21:17 27:16 37:20	permits 45:22
N	36:15 49:19,20	Oil 1:3 4:3 5:7 8:3	50:18 53:2 54:17	permitted 27:23
$\overline{N2:13:1}$	50:10 54:20,22	okay 5:22 6:23 9:14	57:3 60:3,4,5	PETERMAN 1:12
name 4:2 6:11	55:10,12,18,21	9:17,21 10:11	originally 31:24	74:5
36:17	numbers 42:15,18 43:24 52:24 56:13	11:24 13:1,5,15	originate 16:4	Petitioner 1:4 5:17
30.17	45.24 52.24 50.15	13:23 14:5,11	oval-shaped 33:1	
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	l	ı	I	i
6:6 66:24	22:4 38:6 40:21	project 10:2 26:4	53:8 59:20 63:20	reimbursable
Petitioner's 3:17	Pollution 1:1,14	37:17 38:2,3	64:15 66:20 68:16	28:20,21 29:1
4:15 13:7,9,11,13	2:2 4:18	53:17	70:11 71:2,17	reimbursed 27:6
13:17 35:11 72:23	port 12:5 44:10,14	proof 63:16	72:2,12	27:20
73:1	portions 16:7,10	properties 9:24		reimbursement
petroleum 12:8	17:6,8 40:22	property 9:13	R	48:8
31:16 32:22	ports 11:23 12:4	12:22 13:4 26:18	R 2:1	reinstalled 47:16
physically 14:19,20	44:11,11,12	26:19 47:11,23	re-reporting 27:16	reinvestigate 40:22
picking 56:22	position 36:23 37:3	proposed 12:16	read 33:13 47:22	rejected 42:7 71:13
piping 16:10,12	possibilities 58:16	13:21 59:17 60:4	reading 61:20	related 49:7
17:8,11 22:2,3	possible 42:18	67:21,24 68:15	really 60:18	relating 7:3
33:17,20,21 34:8	posted 72:18	Protection 1:6 5:10	reason 47:21 65:14	relationship 28:5
34:9,11,12,14,16	practical 18:10	37:1	reasoning 47:1	relative 23:22
50:12 52:14 54:9	preferential 32:16	proven 19:21	reasons 19:17,20	release 11:20 12:10
59:14	preliminary 5:12	provided 63:1 64:3	26:16 27:17,22	14:14 21:21 27:9
pit 18:10,16 19:4	presence 17:11	public 1:12 4:8,11	recall 8:23 19:14	27:11,16,19 33:10
43:20 50:12 52:12	present 4:12 35:5	4:12 72:19,20	19:24 20:4 42:11	33:12,21 34:4
52:13,14,15 59:14	45:23 72:14	74:7,15	46:23 66:9	37:20,22 40:2
70:5	previous 18:4	pull 27:19 28:17	recalled 66:24	48:11 50:4,6,16
place 38:7,8	37:18 40:23	29:1 45:22,23,24	receive 6:18	54:7
plan 4:15 9:20	previously 41:3	46:1,4 48:21,23	recess 35:3,15,17	released 31:17
10:21 13:22 14:6	60:22 71:21	50:15 53:14 63:16	recognize 13:17	releases 56:6
14:6 19:11,16,19	primarily 7:9,19	pulled 28:18 30:17	recollection 47:7	relevance 68:9
19:24 20:8 24:5	10:13	42:23 45:15	69:18,19	relevant 53:1
25:18,22 26:2,4	printed 13:7	pulling 45:16	record 4:6,22 5:5	relied 65:8
26:10 28:2,11,12	prior 9:16 18:1,2	pulls 45:19,21 48:1	5:13 9:8 12:9	remaining 14:20
28:14 29:24 37:18	20:3 21:6 26:21	48:14,15	13:8 21:8,12,15	Remarks 3:3,4
42:5,6 43:1,7 46:2	26:24 27:20,24	pump 41:10,16,17	21:20 24:1,3,13	remediated 60:13
46:3,5,9,15,17,20	28:8,11,12 48:21	44:21,24 56:6	33:6,14 35:9,10	remediation 18:24
47:5 48:15,19,20	69:10 71:6	pumps 32:15 33:1	35:13,18 36:12	20:14 31:10 48:1
48:24 51:12,15	probably 8:1,9	33:2,5,8,11,23	38:18 39:19 40:4	49:3 51:3,5 57:20
52:20,21 53:2,5	20:10	Purdue 37:6	47:6 51:22,23	59:6 68:11
57:4 58:3 59:9,18	problems 40:20	purpose 4:20 9:10	64:7 65:7	remember 15:8
60:3,4,6 61:4 63:2	Procedural 5:3	9:21 14:11 58:9	RECROSS 3:8	21:18
63:3,10 65:12	72:22	purposes 48:8 60:7	30:11 31:20 63:21	remote 44:14
67:22 68:1,4,15	proceed 40:10	pursuant 4:24 5:2	71:3 72:3	removal 12:18,24
69:1,4,8 70:14,22	proceedings 1:10	46:1	REDIRECT 3:8	27:1,21 28:5
70:24 71:6,10,13	4:22 73:14		29:14 31:4 59:22	47:12,20 49:12
71:24 72:8	process 18:9 40:18	Q	70:12 71:18	50:2,17 54:13
planned 45:24 46:1	40:18	question 34:13	refresh 47:7	67:11,15
46:4	product 34:9	45:17 59:1,2 70:2	regards 8:21 50:15	remove 14:8,9,13
please 5:5 6:1,11	professional 7:5	70:4 71:20 72:6	region 17:23	14:15,16 15:17,19
35:23 36:17	20:6,12 31:6	questions 20:17	regs 48:4	16:6 17:4 20:14
PNAs 25:5,15	37:14	28:3 29:11 30:7	regulations 27:5	27:18 43:11 46:11
point 7:13 10:1	program 8:24	31:3,18 34:19	48:6,7,13 49:5	46:12 58:10
-				

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018 September 19, 2018

	_	_	_	
removed 16:9,11	72:24	sample 50:21,24	seeing 29:20 38:15	9:6,10,18,19,22
17:7,9 26:2,3,6,13	responsibility 72:7	58:17 67:21	seen 49:16	10:6,10,12,15,17
26:15,24 27:8	rest 28:9 35:6	sample's 58:8	selling 26:18	10:20,23 11:6,10
28:9 29:4,22	restored 47:14	sampled 34:12	sent 23:8	11:19 12:1,11,14
46:13,16,22,24	restriction 12:20	47:18	separately 25:2	12:16,20 16:20
47:2 49:3 53:4	52:22	samples 18:22 31:1	September 1:16 4:4	18:20,20,23 29:3
56:10 57:22 62:18	restrictions 12:23	50:5,11 52:5,11	37:19 72:17 74:9	31:9,10 37:16
65:18 69:12,17	52:23	58:12,24 59:5,11	service 8:17 22:9	38:10,11,20 40:10
70:6,9 71:5	resubmitted 71:15	59:16 65:12 67:22	22:12,19 25:11	40:18,22 42:17,20
removing 20:3,7,13	result 49:14	68:2,3 69:11,15	47:13	44:2 49:14,22
46:6	results 9:7 10:5	69:22 70:5,9,20	set 15:19 16:20	50:13 53:12 56:7
repeat 66:16	40:24 50:19,21,24	71:22	seven 32:9	57:20 59:6 60:24
Reply 73:1	56:16,17 68:6	sampling 17:22,23	sewer 47:16	61:5 67:9,18
report 10:23 11:8	71:9	50:8,10 67:18,20	Shaw 5:6,6,14,18	68:11
11:10 21:6,17	returned 16:21	70:15 71:9	5:23 6:10 13:13	sites 7:10,21 8:13
27:14 29:22 33:13	review 8:20 26:3	sandy 15:8	13:16 20:17 29:12	situations 11:5
38:21 40:11 49:15	41:23 43:6 51:19	saying 18:13	29:13,15 30:7	six 7:17 25:14
49:16,22 50:22	52:3 60:5 68:1	says 22:1 33:14,17	31:5,18 34:20,22	41:10
61:6 64:3 67:10	69:1	47:22 52:10	35:4,6,10 53:9,11	slope 34:14
67:19 70:23	reviewed 21:3,7	SB 43:20	59:20 63:22 64:15	small 25:12
reported 11:20	40:4 42:1 46:14	SB-15 23:5 43:17	65:5 66:7,9,14	soil 14:9 16:21 17:2
12:10 29:18 31:24	46:21 48:4 49:11	43:20	67:4 68:16 70:13	17:22,23 18:3,7
37:21,22 50:4,17	reviewing 62:24	SB-17 19:1 23:5	71:2,19 72:2,12	20:14 23:1,3,8,9
54:4 74:8	63:1	43:17 60:6	73:3,5	41:12,15,19 43:12
reporter 6:1 35:23	reword 45:17	SB-22 43:19 56:18	shocking 30:1	44:23 45:2,5,6,8,9
74:6	right 18:12,14	SB-31 43:17	short 35:3,15	45:11 46:6,11
reporting 33:7	28:12 32:24,24	schedule 45:22	shorter 69:15	47:3 49:4,11
50:18	33:4 34:11 38:24	school 6:21 7:23	shorthand 74:6,8	54:14,22 55:6,8
reports 27:12 40:6	39:12 47:24 48:3	37:5	74:10	55:12,15,18,21
request 4:9 63:24	51:17 53:4 55:2	Science 37:10	show 10:6 21:18	56:4,12,20 57:1,5
64:9 65:9 71:22	61:11,13 62:3	seat 66:13	22:24 24:10 47:4	57:10,16 59:24
requested 68:7	70:1 72:16 73:11	second 19:18 26:2	50:24 59:5 60:23	60:2,9,15
71:14	right-of-ways 13:4	28:13 29:16 43:1	65:20 71:10	soils 14:13,16,20
requesting 64:4,8	risk 27:5	43:6	showed 61:4	15:8 16:6 17:5,14
require 50:3,4,7	RMR 1:12 74:15	section 14:4 16:7,7	showing 51:13	sole 52:24
required 29:21	roadway 32:1	16:16,17 17:2,5	shows 51:3 60:19	solely 66:17
requirements 48:9	Roughly 45:1	17:19,21 19:21	68:13	Sorry 13:14
requires 50:9	rules 5:1,3 72:22	23:2,4,6,7 39:18	shy 64:4,8	sort 48:17
reserve 73:5,9	ruling 66:10	41:4,6 57:20	side 30:18,19 35:6	source 11:18 12:18
residential 53:1	run 17:11 34:9	72:21	signed 8:10	14:13 17:18 31:12
respect 19:23 29:16		sections 5:2 44:19	significance 15:1	32:18,19,20,21
54:22 59:10	<u>S</u>	54:14,18	15:23 17:10	33:12 48:11 54:2
Respondent 1:7	S 2:1 3:15	see 15:13 21:22	silly 22:18	54:8,17 55:3,5
36:5	safety 18:11	36:1 50:2 51:19	Similar 16:18	sources 12:7
Respondent's	sale 26:22	52:13 67:14 68:9	site 4:16 8:21,24	south 62:2

southwest 38:10,11	submit 69:7 71:9	tank 7:10,21 16:8	54:9	top 11:23 18:13
space 14:21	72:8	17:6 18:16 19:4	testified 6:7 36:6	19:15 23:22
Special 5:8	submits 63:3	19:10 25:7,10	41:3 67:1 69:12	transcript 1:10
specific 18:20	submittal 62:14	27:19,21 28:9	69:14	61:20 72:17 74:10
19:24 20:4	submittals 9:8	29:1 34:4,14 41:4	testimony 36:14	trench 34:8,9,17
specifically 28:6	submitted 9:6,19	41:13 43:20 44:24	57:6 65:15,22	52:14 59:14
spend 9:9	13:22 19:12 24:5	45:3,12,18,21	68:20 69:20	trenches 50:12
spilled 32:23	25:17 27:14 37:18	47:20 48:1,15,21	testing 37:23 54:5	Trent 3:10 35:21
spills 22:1 33:14	40:7 63:8,9,17	48:23 49:3,12	Thank 5:11 13:15	36:4,18
54:8	65:12,15,20,21	50:2,12,15 52:15	20:18 34:23 39:4	true 74:10
Springfield 1:15	67:10,13 68:4,21	53:14 59:14 63:16	62:11 64:17 73:12	try 23:24
4:10	69:4 70:21	70:6	73:15	trying 52:19 67:15
SS 74:1	sufficient 49:8	tanks 7:24 12:4	thickness 16:20	Tulane 6:15
Stage 9:5,10,19,22	suggests 64:7	14:3,9,15,20 15:4	17:3,4	turn 15:10 16:15
10:5,15,17,20,24	summer 8:19	15:4,7 16:13	thing 50:9	turned 62:12
11:5 18:4 31:9	supposed 34:15	17:21 18:13,23	things 9:2 21:2	two 7:18 25:11
38:23 40:14	sure 20:24 22:1,22	20:7,13 22:2,3,9	33:2 43:10	type 12:13,15 17:22
stages 9:3	24:11 28:10 32:16	22:12,15 25:8	think 17:17 21:10	45:23
stages 7.3	34:7 45:18 61:7	26:13,15,19 27:8	48:3 56:9 61:4	types 45:18
stand 73:14	61:21 62:3	27:18 28:6,17	64:11 65:10	typical 18:15
started 6:22 7:22	surface 14:10	29:4,6,21,22 30:2	thought 30:20	typically 15:9 18:9
8:10	surprising 29:24	30:13,17 31:9	three 25:11 43:24	18:19 19:20 42:13
state 1:13 5:17 6:11	surrounding 44:7	32:8,11,20,24	Tier 12:19 15:18,21	42:14 50:1
6:14 29:21 36:17	suspected 50:3	33:16,17 42:23	16:2 19:2,17,22	42.14 30.1
48:7 49:15,24	suspected 30.3 swear 6:2 35:23	43:12 44:3,4,8,9	23:13,16,17,17	U
50:14 52:1,9	swear 6.2 33.23 sworn 6:3,7 35:24	44:13,21 45:12,15	28:3,8,14 30:14	uh-huh 62:11
62:15 74:1,7	36:6 67:1	45:16 46:12,13,21	30:21,24 31:15,17	ultimately 72:5,7
stated 48:6 68:4	system 16:8 20:1,3	· / /		undergoing 8:19
	33:22 47:12 49:12	48:14 52:12 54:9	41:13 42:7,10,15	underground 7:9
statement 5:19,21	33.22 47.12 49.12	56:9,12,13 57:14	42:17 43:13,19,21	7:20,24 14:2,8
stating 19:19	T	57:21 58:10 61:10	45:13 47:3 49:5,8	16:8,13 17:6 20:7
station 8:17 9:13	T 3:15	61:11,13,14 62:17	49:11 51:3,4,7,8	20:13 34:4 43:11
32:2	table 24:12 51:12	65:18 69:12,17	51:13,20 52:5,17	underneath 27:4
status 8:22,23	51:15,17	70:9 71:6	52:18,23 56:13,18	understand 34:5
step 10:21 34:24	tables 24:10	technical 19:17	62:23 68:15,15	59:2
sticker 39:8,10	take 10:2 23:15	tell 13:23 51:6	tight 17:14 22:2,4	understanding
stood 8:22	35:15 70:8	tells 33:11	29:5 33:17,20	17:1
stop 48:10	taken 1:10 18:22	ten 7:15 18:19	54:9	
storage 7:10,21,24		tenant 8:20	time 5:4 7:13 8:20	University 4:16
14:3,9 16:8,13	35:17 38:7,8	tenure 37:4	9:4,9 10:8 46:14	6:16 8:17 37:6,23
17:6 20:7,13 34:4	42:20 52:11 59:11	terms 8:24 12:12	47:21 56:12 64:12	38:10 39:24 54:6
43:12	65:12 67:18,20	13:1 17:12,22	73:13	unknown 54:1,19
Street 4:16	69:11,14 70:5,20	58:17	timeframes 40:11	unreasonable
Strike 11:6	74:8,11	test 30:18,21 70:3	timeline 27:3	48:12
striped 15:11	talking 34:2 39:12	tested 22:2,4 29:4	times 28:24 49:2	use 12:20,22 36:13
stuff 21:8	58:11	30:19 33:17,20	today 72:14	47:6 58:1 60:17
	l	1	l	l

70:18	35:22 51:21 53:9	<u> </u>	17 23:22 24:13,15	43:19 55:12 56:18
useful 26:20	61:19 62:10 64:17	yeah 6:21 8:8,14,18	24:22 32:8,10,13	238 39:7
UST 1:5 18:10	64:21 65:1 66:1,6	10:14 11:13 18:18	32:14 44:17,23	24 23:11 43:19
19:10 20:1,3 34:8	66:11,21 68:17	23:21 24:4 27:13	45:1,6,9 56:20	55:15 56:18
47:11 49:5 50:17	72:13,16 73:7,11	27:23 32:12 38:3	57:2,6 59:24 60:2	24th 73:1
USTs 11:21,23,24	website 72:18	39:9,13 41:17	60:9	25 23:15 55:21
16:5 33:7,9 34:6	went 6:21 7:16 29:2	44:11 46:19 58:13	17-84 1:5 4:3	253 39:6,15,18
54:23 55:4,13,16	32:12	67:7,9	18 43:21 56:18	254 39:2
55:19,22 57:17	weren't 28:16 40:3	year 6:18,22	19 22:6 23:15 43:21	258 39:14
67:11	west 10:14 52:15	years 7:15,17,18	55:18 56:18	26th 72:17
usually 10:21 70:21	west/northwest	9:3 22:11,19	1992 53:20 54:2,4	29/31 3:9
	39:22 59:15 61:24		1997 37:8	
V	62:1	Z	1999 6:19	3
values 42:15	Wienhoff 3:9,11	zero 15:17	19th 1:15 4:4 74:9	3 21:20
versus 15:24	6:5,12,13 38:20	zones 46:7	1st 36:22 68:21	3-1 67:16
vs 1:5 4:3	39:2,6,9,13,15			30 45:4 67:15
<u> </u>	41:3 52:10 63:9	0	2	30/31 3:9
wait 27:2	65:16 66:10,12,23	084.2149 74:16	2 3:19 12:19 15:18	31 23:18,22 24:13
	67:5	1	15:21 16:2 19:2	24:14,18 32:8,12
waive 5:18,20	witness 5:24 6:2,3,6	1 2.17 10 12.7 0 12	19:17,22 23:17	32:14,20 43:18
waiving 66:19	6:11 13:6 24:8	13:17,18 13:7,9,12	28:3,8,14 30:14	45:2,5 57:10
walls 30:18,19 50:11 69:17	35:1,19,23,24	13:13,18 23:13,16	30:24 31:15,17	31st 73:2
want 9:9 38:5 61:1	36:5 66:24	23:17 30:21 35:12	41:14 42:7,10,15	35 3:17
66:18	witnesses 3:8 64:24	36:11,15 43:20	42:18 43:13,21	36 3:10,18
wanted 26:20,24	work 6:20 7:7,13	51:3,4,17 54:14	45:13 47:3 49:5,8	3712 4:16
War 37:24 38:9	7:17,23 8:11 9:14	65:4,6 68:15 1/8th 34:16	49:11,19,20 51:7	3rd 4:13 72:20
39:24 54:6	9:17 10:16,18,24	1/8th 34:16 10 8:14	51:8,13,20 52:5	4
wasn't 18:8 26:6	11:7,7 15:15	10 8.14 10:00 1:16 4:4	52:17,18,23 56:13	43:3
28:20,21 33:8,21	16:16 18:1,2 21:1	101.600 5:2	56:19 58:1 59:10	45-day 27:12,14
65:7	26:21 38:7 65:13	101.600 3.2 101.628 72:21	62:23 65:3,6 66:2	40:6,11 64:3
water 56:3	worked 7:18 8:12	101.632 5:2	67:6 68:15	49 3:19
Wave 7:19	71:10	101.032 3.2 1021 1:14	20 3:9	7) 3.1)
way 20:11 21:2	worker 25:20 53:3	1021 1.14 10th 72:24	20-day 27:12 40:6	5
32:14 33:19,23	60:5,6,8	11 41:19	2000 6:22	5 47:4,5
38:13 39:19 61:15	working 7:9,22	11:26 73:16	2005 7:6	50 53:24
61:17 62:7	10:2 26:18	12 8:14 15:4 41:19	2011 37:19	53 3:10
we'll 5:18 24:6	worst 14:13,16	13 3:17 14:10,23	2013 8:9	59 3:10 48:17
we're 20:22 21:14	31:13	15:1	2015 8:19	590 36:12 47:5
28:21 31:22 39:11	wouldn't 71:8	14 41:19	2016 67:16 68:22 2017 69:1	598 13:8 36:13
60:15 62:21	written 4:12	15 19:5 32:8,14,20	201 7 69:1 2018 1:16 74:9	
Webb 1:11 4:1,2	wrong 55:10 70:7	33:19 41:12,15	2018 1:16 74:9 20th 4:23	6
5:11,16,22 20:18	X	43:18 44:18 45:8	20th 4:23 22 23:9 54:22 55:7	63:9
29:12 30:8 34:20	$\frac{X}{X3:1,15}$	57:16 60:15	55:9	600 24:13
34:23 35:4,7,11	A J.1,13	16 41:19 69:1	23 22:11,19 23:9	63 3:10
		10 11.17 07.1	<u>43</u>	66 3:18,19
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 9/27/2018 September 19, 2018

	Se	ptember 19, 20)18	
				Page 84
67 3:11 68 3:11 7 70/71 3:11 71/72 3:11 73 3:4 732.210(h) 50:7 734.210(h) 50:6 8 9 90s 21:9,9 92 22:7,8 29:3 37:20 40:3,8 54:20 95 8:1 98 36:22				Page 84